NEW MEASURES OF NONCOMPACTNESS

Milan R. Tasković

Abstract. In this paper are consider some new measures of non-compactness. They notations have proved useful in several areas of nonlinear functional analysis. Also, they measures of noncompactness suggested by some simple characterizations of relative compactness.

1. Introduction and main results

We notice, the first measure of noncompactness, in notation the function α , was defined and studied in 1930 by Kuratowski. Later in 1955 Darbo was the first who continued to use the function α .

Other measures were introduced by Goldenstein, Gohberg and Markus (the ball measures of noncompactness, Hausdorff measure of noncompactness; in notation χ) in 1957, Istrăţescu in 1957 and others; see Rakočević [10].

In this paper is the consider some new measures of noncompactness suggested by some simple characterizations of relative compactness.

Let (X, d) be a metric space and Q a bounded subset of X. The measure of noncomapctness of Q, denoted by $\tau(Q)$ or T(Q), is the infimum of the set of all numbers $\varepsilon > 0$ such that Q can be covered by a finite number of sets with half diameters $< \varepsilon$, that is

$$\tau(Q) = \inf \left\{ \varepsilon > 0 : Q \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} S_i, \ S_i \subset X, \operatorname{hdiam}(S_i) < \varepsilon \ (i = 1, \dots, n; n \in \mathbb{N}) \right\},$$

where $\operatorname{hdiam}(S_i) := \sup_{x \in S_i} d(x, y)$ for $y \in S_i$. Clearly we have the following inequalities which are connected with former results

$$\chi(Q) \le \tau(Q) \le \alpha(Q) \le \text{diam } (Q)$$

for each bounded subset Q of X. Let Q_1 and Q_2 be two bounded sets in a complete metric space (X,d). Then

$$\tau(Q_1 \cup Q_2) = \max \left\{ \tau(Q_1), \tau(Q_2) \right\}$$

AMS (MOS) Subject Classification 1991. Primary: 47H10, 54E15, 47A55. Secondary: 47A53, 54D30, 47H09.

Key words and phrases: Measures of noncompactness, Cantor intersection theorem, Kuratowski's function, Darbo's theorem, Sadovskij's theorem, Hausdorff's function, Istrăţescu's function, Fixed point theory.

and if Q_2 reduces to a point x_0 we have $\tau(Q_1 \cup \{x_0\}) = \tau(Q_1)$. On the other hand: $\tau(Q) = 0$ if and only if \overline{Q} is compact, $\tau(Q) = \tau(\overline{Q})$, $Q_1 \subset Q_2$ implies $\tau(Q_1) \leq \tau(Q_2)$ and

$$\tau(Q_1 \cap Q_2) \le \min \left\{ \tau(Q_1), \tau(Q_2) \right\}.$$

It is clear that the number $\tau(Q)$ has almost all properties of the numbers $\alpha(Q)$ and $\chi(Q)$ of Kuratowski and Hausdorff. The next statement is an extension of the well-known Cantor intersection theorem.

Theorem 1. Let (X,d) be a complete metric space. If (F_n) is a decreasing sequence of nonempty, closed and bounded subsets of X and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \tau(F_n) = 0$, then the intersection $F_{\infty} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$ is a nonempty and compact subset of X.

The proof of this statement is totally analogous with the corresponding proofs for Kuratowski's and Hausdorff's functions; and thus we omit it.

If X is a normed space, then the function τ has some additional properties connected with the linear structures of a normed space. If Q, Q_1 and Q_2 are bounded subsets of a normed space X, then: $\tau(Q_1+Q_2) \leq \tau(Q_1)+\tau(Q_2)$, $\tau(Q+x)=\tau(Q)$ for each $x\in X$, $\tau(\lambda Q)=|\lambda|\tau(Q)$ for each λ of the field F and $\tau(Q)=\tau(\operatorname{conv} Q)$.

On the other hand, let (X,d) be a metric space. A mapping $f:X\to X$ is said to be τ -contraction if f is bounded and continuous, and there exists $q\in(0,1)$, such that for all bounded subset Q of X the following inequality holds

(1)
$$\tau(f(Q)) \le q\tau(Q).$$

In connection with this, a mapping $f: X \to X$ is said to be τ -condensing if f is bounded and continuous and for every bounded subset Q of X for which $\tau(Q) > 0$:

$$\tau\left(f(Q)\right) < \tau(Q).$$

If X is a complex Banach space, C a nonempty bounded closed and convex subset of X and suppose that $f:X\to X$ is τ -condensing, then f has a fixed point and

$$\tau (\{x \in C : f(x) = x\}) = 0.$$

The proof of this statement can be modelled on the proof of the corresponding theorem for Kuratowski's function (number).

2. Still two measures of noncompactness

In further, let (X, d) be a complete metric space and Q a bounded subset of X. Let us recall that set Q is called **half diameter** ε -discrete (in notation $hd\varepsilon$ -discrete), if

$$\sup_{x \in Q} d(x, y) \ge \varepsilon \quad \text{for } y \in Q,$$

and let us recall that set Q is called **diameter** ε -discrete (in notation $d\varepsilon$ -discrete), if the following fact holds that diam $(Q) \geq \varepsilon$.

The measure of noncompactness of Q, denoted by $\tau hd(Q)$ or Thd(Q), is defined in the following sense by

$$\tau hd(Q) = \inf \Big\{ \varepsilon > 0 : Q \text{ has no infinite } hd\varepsilon\text{-discrete subsets} \Big\}.$$

On the other hand, the measure of noncompactness of Q, denoted by $\tau d(Q)$ or Td(Q), is defined in the following sense by

$$\tau d(Q) = \inf \{ \varepsilon > 0 : Q \text{ has no infinite } d\varepsilon \text{-discrete subsets} \}.$$

It is clear that functions $\tau hd(Q)$ and $\tau d(Q)$ have almost all properties of Kuratowski's and Istrăţescu's functions.

An open problem. Compute $\tau hd(B_X)$ and $\tau d(B_X)$, where B_X is the closed unit ball in a normed space X of infinite dimension!

We notice, if (X, d) is a metric space and Q is a bounded subset of X, then we obtain the following inequalities:

$$\beta(Q) \le \tau h d(Q) \le \tau d(Q),$$

where the function $\beta(Q)$ is called Istrățescu's measure of noncomactness.

In conection with the preceding maps class of (1) and (2) we can introduce similar classes of mappings for functions $\tau hd(Q)$ and $\tau d(Q)$; and whenever the proof is not as for the preceding functions we mention this. Then we obtain some corresponding statements of fixed point for class' (1) and (2) of mappings.

The next statement, also, is an extension of the well-known Cantor intersection theorem.

Theorem 2. Let (X,d) be a complete metric space. If (F_n) is a decreasing sequence of nonempty, closed and bounded subsets of X and if the following equality holds $\lim_{n\to\infty} \tau hd(F_n) = 0$ or $\lim_{n\to\infty} \tau d(F_n) = 0$, then the intersection $F_{\infty} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$ is a nonempty and compact subset of X.

The proof of this statement can be modelled on the proof of the corresponding theorem for Kuratowski's functions; and thus we omit it.

3. References

- [1] J. Banás and K. Goebel: *Measures of Noncompactness in Banach Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics **60**, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York and Basel, 1980.
- [2] J. Daneš: On the Istrăţescu's measure of noncompactness, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. RSR, 16 (1972), 403-406.
- [3] G. Darbo: Punti uniti in transformazioni a condominio non compatto, Rend. Sem. Math. Univ. Padova, 24 (1955), 84-92.
- [4] T. Dominguez Benavides: Set-contractions and ball contractions in some class of spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 136 (1988), 131-140.
- [5] M. Furi and A. Vignoli: On a property or the unit sphere in a linear normed space, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys., 18 (1970), 333-334.
- [6] L. S. Goldenštejn, I. C. Gohberg and A. S. Markus: Investigations of certain properties of linear bounded operators connected with their q-norms, Uč. zap. Kišinevskogo gos. Univ., 29 (1957), 29-36 (in Russian).
- [7] M. Gonzalez: Note on the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness, Rev. Acad. Canar. Cienc., 1 (1990), 119-123.
- [8] V. Istrăţescu: On a measure of noncompastness, Bull. Mat. Soc. Sci. Math. RSR, 16 (1972), 195-197.
- [9] K. Kuratowski: Sur les espaces completes, Fund. Math., 15 (1930), 301-309.
- [10] V. Rakočević: Measures of noncompactness and some applications, Filomat (Niš), 12:2 (1998), 87-120.
- [11] B. Sadovskij: On a fixed point principle, Funct. Anal. Appl., 1 (1967), 74-76.

Matematički fakultet 11000 Beograd, P.O. Box 550 Yugoslavia

Received February 1, 1998.