

Existence, decay and blow up of solutions for a Petrovsky equation with a fractional time delay term

ERHAN PIŞKİN*  0000-0001-6587-4479,
ERKAN SANCAR  0000-0002-7571-5364

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider a Petrovsky equation with fractional time delay term in a bounded domain. Firstly, we prove the existence of solutions using the semigroup theory. Later, we establish the decay of solutions. Finally, we obtain the blow up of the solutions.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we study the following Petrovsky equation with a fractional time delay term

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} u_{tt} + \Delta^2 u + \alpha_1 \partial_t^{\alpha, \beta} u(t - \tau) + \alpha_2 u_t = |u|^{q-2} u, & x \in \Omega, t > 0; \\ u(x, t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} u(x, t) = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, t > 0; \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), & x \in \Omega; \\ u_t(x, t - \tau) = f_0(x, t - \tau), & x \in \Omega, t \in (0, \tau); \end{cases}$$

where Ω is a bounded domain of R^n , with a smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, ν is the unit outer normal to $\partial\Omega$. α_1 and α_2 are positive real numbers such that $\alpha_1 \beta^{\alpha-1} < \alpha_2$. The constants $q > 2$ and $\tau > 0$ is the time delay. Also, (u_0, u_1, f_0) the initial data belong to an appropriate function space. The notation $\partial_t^{\alpha, \beta}$ stands for the generalized Caputo's fractional derivative (see [4, 5, 20]) defined by the following formula

$$\partial_t^{\alpha, \beta} u(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{-\alpha} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} u(\tau) d\tau, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1, \beta > 0.$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 35A01; Secondary: 35B40, 35B44, 35L75.

Key words and phrases. Existence, Decay, Blow up, Petrovsky equation, Fractional time delay term.

Full paper. Received 9 Mar 2024, accepted 17 Jun 2024, available online 23 Jun 2025.

- The fourth-order equation has its origin in the canonical model introduced by Petrovsky [21,22]. This type of equations arises in many branches in sciences such as acoustics, optics, geophysics and ocean acoustics [6].
- Time delay appears in many practical problems such as economic, thermal, biological, physical, chemical phenomena and it can be a source of instability [11].
- Fractional derivatives and integrals arise naturally in physics, biology, chemistry, ecology (see [15,20,23]).

The decay phenomena commonly arise in solutions to the evolution equations of various types. Understanding the conditions under which such phenomena occur is of practical interest. There are several methods to show the decay of solutions. A recent comprehensive overview of these methods can be found in the monograph by Pişkin [18] and Straughan [24]. The blow up phenomena commonly arise in solutions to the evolution equations of various types. Understanding the conditions under which such phenomena occur is of practical interest. However, accurately computing the precise blow-up time is often challenging. Despite this challenge, it is still possible to estimate the blow-up time using various methods. A recent comprehensive overview of these methods can be found in the monograph by Al'shin et al. [1], Hu [8] and Pişkin [17].

Kirane and Tatar [13] considered the following equation

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + \partial_t^\alpha u = |u|^{p-2} u.$$

They demonstrated the exponential growth for a fractionally damped wave equation.

Aounallah et al. [2] studied the following wave equation

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + \alpha_1 \partial_t^{\alpha,\beta} u(t - \tau) + \alpha_2 u_t = |u|^{p-2} u.$$

They established the well-posedness, blow-up and asymptotic behaviour for a wave equation with a time delay condition of fractional type.

Pişkin and Uysal [19] studied the following equations

$$u_{tt} + \Delta^2 u + \partial_t^{1+\alpha} u = |u|^{p-1} u.$$

They proved the blow-up of solution.

Nicaise and Pignotti [10] considered as follows

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + \alpha_1 u(t - \tau) + \alpha_2 u_t = f(u).$$

They demonstrated that the energy is exponentially stable when $\alpha_2 < \alpha_1$.

Kafini and Messaoudi [12] proved the following delayed wave equation with logarithmic source term

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + \alpha_1 u(t - \tau) + \alpha_2 u_t = |u|^{p-2} u \ln |u|^k.$$

They investigated the local existence and blow-up of solutions.

Georgiev and Todorova [7] studied the following equations

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + \alpha u_t |u_t|^{m-1} = bu |u|^{p-1}.$$

They considered the existence of a solution of the wave equation nonlinear damping and source term.

Our purpose of this paper is to study the local-global existence, decay and blow-up of solutions of the initial-boundary problem (1) in a bounded domain.

Our study is divided into six parts. In section 2, we give some important lemmas. In Section 3, we obtain the well-posedness by the semigroup theory. In Section 4, we prove the global existence results. In Section 5, we get decay of solutions. Finally, we establish the blow- up of solutions.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this part, we will restate problem (1), for which we need the following lemma.

Lemma 1 ([9]). *Set η is the function:*

$$\eta(\xi) = |\xi|^{\frac{2\alpha-1}{2}}, \quad \xi \in R, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1.$$

Then the relationship between the “input” U and the “output” O of the system

$$\begin{cases} \phi_t(x, \xi, t) + (\xi^2 + \beta) \phi(x, \xi, t) - U(x, t) \eta(\xi) = 0, & \xi \in R, \quad t > 0, \quad \beta > 0, \\ \phi(x, \xi, 0) = 0, \\ O(t) = \frac{\sin(\alpha\pi)}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi(x, \xi, t) \eta(\xi) d\xi \end{cases}$$

is given by

$$O = I^{1-\alpha, \beta} U,$$

here

$$I^{\alpha, \beta} u(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta(t-\tau)} u(\tau) d\tau.$$

Lemma 2 ([3]). *If $\lambda \in D_\beta = \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, -\beta)$ then*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\eta^2(\xi)}{\lambda + \beta + \xi^2} d\xi = \frac{\pi}{\sin(\alpha\pi)} (\lambda + \beta)^{\alpha-1}.$$

The damping and delay functions are considered under the following assumptions.

$$(2) \quad \alpha_1 \beta^{\alpha-1} < \alpha_2.$$

Now, we introduce, as in [10], the new variable

$$(3) \quad z(x, \rho, t) = u_t(x, t - \rho\tau), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad \rho \in (0, 1), \quad t \in R_+.$$

Then, we get

$$(4) \quad z_t(x, \rho, t) = \frac{-1}{\tau} z_\rho(x, \rho, t), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad \rho \in (0, 1), \quad t \in R_+.$$

Also, by (3)-(4) and applying Lemma 1, we can reformulate problem (1) as the following system

$$(5) \quad \begin{cases} u_{tt} + \Delta^2 u + b \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi(x, \xi, t) \eta(\xi) d\xi + \alpha_2 u_t = |u|^{q-2} u, & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ \phi_t(x, \xi, t) + (\xi^2 + \beta) \phi(x, \xi, t) - z(x, 1, t) \eta(\xi) = 0, & x \in \Omega, \xi \in R, t > 0, \\ \tau z_t(x, \rho, t) + z_\rho(x, \rho, t) = 0, & x \in \Omega, \rho \in (0, 1), t > 0, \\ u(x, t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} u(x, t) = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, t > 0, \\ z(x, 0, t) = u_t(x, t), & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x) & x \in \Omega, \\ \phi(x, \xi, 0) = 0, & x \in \Omega, \xi \in R, \\ z(x, \rho, 0) = f_0(x, -\rho\tau), & x \in \Omega, \rho \in (0, 1), \end{cases}$$

here $b = \frac{\sin(\alpha\pi)}{\pi} \alpha_1$.

Lemma 3. Assume that $z \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $\xi\phi \in L^2(\Omega \times (-\infty, +\infty))$ hold. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\Omega} z(x, \rho, t) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \eta(\xi) \phi(x, \xi, t) d\xi dx \right| \\ & \leq A_0 \int_{\Omega} |z(x, \rho, t)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\xi^2 + \beta) |\phi(x, \xi, t)|^2 d\xi dx \end{aligned}$$

for a positive constant A_0 .

Proof. Thanks to the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \eta(\xi) \phi(x, \xi, t) d\xi dx \right| \\ & \leq \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\eta^2(\xi)}{\xi^2 + \beta} d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\xi^2 + \beta) |\phi(x, \xi, t)|^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

By using Young's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\Omega} z(x, \rho, t) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \eta(\xi) \phi(x, \xi, t) d\xi dx \right| \\ & \leq A_0 \int_{\Omega} |z(x, \rho, t)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\xi^2 + \beta) |\phi(x, \xi, t)|^2 d\xi dx \end{aligned}$$

with

$$A_0 = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\eta^2(\xi)}{\xi^2 + \beta} d\xi.$$

This completes the proof. □

Now, we define the energy functional of the problem (5) by

$$(6) \quad E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \|u_t\|^2 + \frac{b}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\phi(x, \xi, t)|^2 d\xi dx + \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta u\|^2 - \frac{1}{q} \|u\|_q^q + s\tau \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 |z(x, \rho, t)|^2 d\rho dx,$$

where s is a positive constant verifying

$$(7) \quad bA_0 < s < \alpha_2 - bA_0.$$

Lemma 4. *Suppose that (2) holds and*

$$(8) \quad \begin{cases} 2 < q < \infty, & \text{if } n = 1, 2, 3, 4; \\ 2 < q \leq \frac{2n}{n-4}, & \text{if } n \geq 5. \end{cases}$$

Then, the energy functional defined by (6) satisfies

$$(9) \quad \frac{dE(t)}{dt} \leq -C \int_{\Omega} \left(|z(x, 1, t)|^2 + |z(x, 0, t)|^2 \right) dx - \frac{b}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\xi^2 + \beta) |\phi(x, \xi, t)|^2 d\xi dx,$$

for a positive constant C .

Proof. Multiply u_t with the first equation of (5) and integrating by parts over Ω , we obtain

$$(10) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{1}{2} \|u_t\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta u\|^2 - \frac{1}{q} \|u\|_q^q \right] + \alpha_2 \|u_t\|_2^2 + b \int_{\Omega} u_t \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \eta(\xi) \phi(x, \xi, t) d\xi dx = 0.$$

Multiply $b\phi$ with the second equation of (5) and integrating over $\Omega \times (-\infty, +\infty)$, we obtain

$$(11) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \frac{b}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\phi(x, \xi, t)|^2 d\xi dx \right\} + b \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\xi^2 + \beta) |\phi(x, \xi, t)|^2 d\xi dx - b \int_{\Omega} z(x, 1, t) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \eta(\xi) \phi(x, \xi, t) d\xi dx = 0.$$

Multiply $2sz$ with the third equation of (5) and integrating over $\Omega \times (0, 1)$, we obtain

$$(12) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \tau s \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 |z(x, \rho, t)|^2 d\rho dx \right\} + s \int_{\Omega} \left[|z(x, 1, t)|^2 - |z(x, 0, t)|^2 \right] dx = 0.$$

By summing (10), (11), (12) and using $u_t = z(x, 0, t)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dE(t)}{dt} = & -(\alpha_2 - s) \int_{\Omega} |z(x, 0, t)|^2 dx - s \int_{\Omega} |z(x, 1, t)|^2 \\ & - b \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\xi^2 + \beta) |\phi(x, \xi, t)|^2 d\xi dx \\ & - b \int_{\Omega} z(x, 0, t) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \eta(\xi) \phi(x, \xi, t) d\xi dx \\ & + b \int_{\Omega} z(x, 1, t) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \eta(\xi) \phi(x, \xi, t) d\xi dx. \end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dE(t)}{dt} \leq & -C \int_{\Omega} (|z(x, 1, t)|^2 + |z(x, 0, t)|^2) dx \\ & - \frac{b}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\xi^2 + \beta) |\phi(x, \xi, t)|^2 d\xi dx \end{aligned}$$

with

$$C = \min \{(s - bA_0), (\alpha_2 - bA_0 - s)\}.$$

Given that s is selected in accordance with assumption (7), the constant C turns out to be positive. This concludes the proof. \square

3. WELL-POSEDNESS

Let us define $v = u_t$ and introduce the vector

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \\ \phi \\ z \end{pmatrix},$$

with the initial condition specified by

$$U(0) = U_0 = \begin{pmatrix} u_0 \\ u_1 \\ 0 \\ f_0(\cdot, -\rho\tau) \end{pmatrix}.$$

We also define the nonlinear operator $J(U)$ as

$$J(U) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ |u|^{q-2}u \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then (5) can be rewritten as an abstract problem

$$(13) \quad \begin{cases} U_t + \mathcal{A}U = J(U(t)), \\ U_0(0) = (u_0, u_1, 0, f_0(\cdot, -\rho\tau))^T, \end{cases}$$

where the operator $\mathcal{A} : D(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{A}U = \mathcal{A} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \\ \phi \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -v \\ \Delta^2 u + b \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi(x, \xi) \eta(\xi) d\xi + \alpha_2 v \\ (\xi^2 + \beta) \phi(x, \xi) - z(x, 1) \eta(\xi) \\ \frac{1}{\tau} z_\rho(x, \rho) \end{pmatrix}$$

with domain

$$D(\mathcal{A}) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} U \in \mathcal{H} : u \in H^2(\Omega), v \in H_0^1(\Omega), z_\rho \in L^2(\Omega \times (0, 1)), \\ z(\cdot, 0, \cdot) = v, \xi \phi \in L^2(\Omega \times (-\infty, +\infty)), \\ (\xi^2 + \beta) \phi - z(x, 1, t) \eta(\xi) \in L^2(\Omega \times (-\infty, +\infty)) \end{array} \right\},$$

where the space \mathcal{H} is defined by:

$$\mathcal{H} = H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega \times (-\infty, +\infty)) \times L^2(\Omega \times (0, 1))$$

equipped with the inner product

$$\begin{aligned} \langle U, \tilde{U} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} &= \int_{\Omega} [\Delta u \Delta \tilde{u} + v \tilde{v}] dx + b \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi(x, \xi) \tilde{\phi}(x, \xi) d\xi dx \\ &\quad + 2s\tau \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 z(x, \xi) \tilde{z}(x, \xi) dx d\rho. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1. *Suppose that (7) and (8) hold. Then for any $U_0 \in \mathcal{H}$, problem (13) has a local unique weak solution*

$$U \in C([0, T], \mathcal{H}).$$

Proof. Following the approach in [14, 16], we demonstrate that the operator \mathcal{A} is maximal monotone and the function J is a locally Lipschitz continuous. Initially, for every $U \in D(\mathcal{A})$, by applying inequalities (13) and (9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle AU, U \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} &\geq C \int_{\Omega} [|z(x, 1)|^2 + |z(x, 0)|^2] dx \\ &\quad + \frac{b}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\xi^2 + \beta) |\phi(x, \xi)|^2 d\xi dx. \end{aligned}$$

This inequality confirms that \mathcal{A} is a monotone operator.

To establish maximality, we aim to show that the operator $I + \mathcal{A}$ is onto. Specifically, for any given $F = (f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4)^T \in \mathcal{H}$, we need to find a $U = (u, v, \phi, z)^T \in D(\mathcal{A})$ such that

$$(I + \mathcal{A})U = F.$$

Namely,

$$(14) \quad \begin{cases} u - v = f_1(x), \\ (1 + \alpha_2)u + \Delta^2 u + b \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi(x, \xi, \cdot) \eta(\xi) d\xi = f_2(x), \\ \phi(x, \xi) + (\xi^2 + \beta) \phi(x, \xi) - z(x, 1) \eta(\xi) = f_3(x, \xi), \\ z(x, \rho) + \frac{1}{\tau} z_\rho(x, \rho) = f_4(x, \rho). \end{cases}$$

Provided that u exhibits sufficient regularity, one can deduce from the first and third equations in (14) that

$$(15) \quad v = u - f_1$$

and

$$(16) \quad \phi(x, \xi) = \frac{f_3(x, \xi) + z(x, 1) \eta(\xi)}{\xi^2 + \beta + 1}, \quad x \in \Omega, \xi \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Conversely, the fourth equation in (14), subject to the initial condition $z(x, 0) = u - f_1$ admits a unique solution given by

$$(17) \quad z(x, \rho) = (u - f_1(x)) e^{-\tau \rho} + \tau e^{-\tau \rho} \int_0^\rho e^{\tau \sigma} f_4(x, \sigma) d\sigma, \quad x \in \Omega, \rho \in (0, 1).$$

Substituting (15) in the second equation of (14), we have

$$(18) \quad (1 + \alpha_2)u + \Delta^2 u + b \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi(x, \xi, \cdot) \eta(\xi) d\xi = f_2(x) + (1 + \alpha_2)f_1(x).$$

Solving equation (18) is equivalent to finding $u \in H^2(\Omega)$ such that

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} [(1 + \alpha_2)u + \Delta^2 u] w dx + b \int_{\Omega} w \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi(x, \xi) \eta(\xi) d\xi dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} [f_2(x) + (1 + \alpha_2)f_1(x)] w dx, \quad w \in H_0^1(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

By using (19), (17) and (16), we get

$$(20) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} (\mu u + \Delta^2 u) w &= \int_{\Omega} (f_2(x) + \mu f_1(x)) w dx \\ &\quad - b \int_{\Omega} w \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\eta(\xi) f_3(x, \xi)}{\xi^2 + \beta + 1} d\xi dx \\ &\quad - b \tau e^{-\tau} A_1 \int_{\Omega} w \int_0^1 e^{\tau \sigma} f_4(x, \sigma) d\sigma dx, \quad w \in H_0^1(\Omega), \end{aligned}$$

here

$$\mu = 1 + \alpha_2 + b e^{-\tau} A_1 > 0, \quad A_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\eta^2(\xi)}{\xi^2 + \beta + 1} d\xi.$$

As a result, problem (20) is equivalent to the problem

$$(21) \quad B(u, w) = L(w),$$

here the bilinear form $B : H_0^2(\Omega) \times H_0^2(\Omega) \rightarrow R$ defined by

$$B(u, w) = \mu \int_{\Omega} u w dx + \int_{\Omega} \Delta u \Delta w dx$$

and the linear form $L : H_0^2(\Omega) \rightarrow R$ by

$$\begin{aligned} L(w) = & \int_{\Omega} (f_2(x) + \mu f_1(x)) w dx - b \int_{\Omega} w \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\eta(\xi) f_3(x, \xi)}{\xi^2 + \beta + 1} d\xi dx \\ & - b \tau e^{-\tau} A_1 \int_{\Omega} w \int_0^1 e^{\tau \sigma} f_4(x, \sigma) d\sigma dx. \end{aligned}$$

It is straightforward to verify that B is coercive and continuous and L is continuous. So, applying the Lax-Milgram theorem, we deduce that for all $w \in H_0^2(\Omega)$ problem (21) admits a unique solution $u \in H_0^2(\Omega)$. Applying the classical elliptic regularity, it follows from (21) that $u \in H_0^2(\Omega)$. Using the second equation of (14) and Green's formula, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \left[(1 + \alpha_2) u + \Delta^2 u + b \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi(\xi) \eta(\xi) d\xi - f_2 \right] w = 0, \quad w \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

Hence,

$$(1 + \alpha_2) u + \Delta^2 u + b \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi(x, \xi) \eta(\xi) d\xi = f_2(x) \in L^2(\Omega).$$

Using the third equation of (14), we get

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} [\phi(\xi) + (\xi^2 + \beta) \phi(\xi) - z(1) \eta(\xi) - f_3(\xi)] w d\xi = 0, \quad w \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

Hence,

$$\phi(x, \xi) + (\xi^2 + \beta) \phi(x, \xi) - z(x, 1) \eta(\xi) = f_3(x, \xi) \in L^2(\Omega \times (-\infty, +\infty)).$$

Therefore,

$$U \in D(\mathcal{A}).$$

Consequently, $I + \mathcal{A}$ is an onto operator.

To conclude, we show that the mapping $J : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is locally Lipschitz. For any $U \in \mathcal{H}$, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \|J(U) - J(\tilde{U})\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 &= \|0, u|u|^{q-2} - \tilde{u}|\tilde{u}|^{q-2}, 0, 0\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \\ &= \|u|u|^{q-2} - \tilde{u}|\tilde{u}|^{q-2}\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

It is easily to verify that

$$\|u|u|^{q-2} - \tilde{u}|\tilde{u}|^{q-2}\|^2 \leq C \|u - \tilde{u}\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2.$$

Hence, J satisfies the local Lipschitz condition. \square

4. GLOBAL EXISTENCE

In this section, we establish the global existence of solutions. To begin, we introduce the following two functionals

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} I(t) = & b \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\phi(x, \xi, t)|^2 d\xi dx + \|\Delta u\|^2 \\ & - \|u\|_q^q + s\tau \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 |z(x, \rho, t)|^2 d\rho dx \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} J(t) = & \frac{b}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\phi(x, \xi, t)|^2 d\xi dx + \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta u\|^2 \\ & - \frac{1}{q} \|u\|_q^q + s\tau \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 |z(x, \rho, t)|^2 d\rho dx. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition $J(t)$ and $E(t)$, we have

$$(24) \quad E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \|u_t\|^2 + J(t).$$

Lemma 5. *Suppose that (2) and (8) hold. Then, for $U_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ satisfying*

$$(25) \quad \begin{cases} \bar{\beta} = C_*^q \left(\frac{2q}{(q-2)} E(0) \right)^{\frac{q-2}{2}} < 1, \\ I(0) > 0. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$I(t) > 0, \quad \text{for all } t > 0.$$

Proof. Since $I(0) > 0$, then there exists (by continuity of $u(t)$) $T^* < T$ such that

$$I(t) \geq 0, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T^*].$$

By (22) and (23), we have

$$(26) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{2q}{q-2} J(t) = & b \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\phi(x, \xi, t)|^2 d\xi dx + \frac{2}{q-2} I(t) \\ & + \|\Delta u\|^2 + \frac{2(q-1)s\tau}{q-2} \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 |z(x, \rho, t)|^2 d\rho dx \\ \geq & \|\Delta u\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (9), (24) and (26), we deduce that

$$\|\Delta u(t)\|^2 \leq \frac{2q}{(q-2)} E(t) \leq \frac{2q}{(q-2)} E(0)$$

for all $t \in [0, T^*]$. Thanks to Sobolev-Poincare inequality and (25), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u\|_q^q &\leq C_*^q \|\Delta u\|_2^q \\
&\leq C_*^q \left(\frac{2q}{(q-2)} E(0) \right)^{\frac{q-2}{2}} \|\Delta u\|^2 \\
&< \|\Delta u\|^2, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T^*].
\end{aligned}$$

After this

$$\begin{aligned}
I(t) &= b \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\phi(x, \xi, t)|^2 d\xi dx + \|\Delta u\|^2 \\
&\quad - \|u\|_q^q + s\tau \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 |z(x, \rho, t)|^2 d\rho dx > 0, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T^*].
\end{aligned}$$

By iterating this process and utilizing the inequality

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T^*} C_*^q \left(\frac{2q}{(q-2)} E(0) \right)^{\frac{q-2}{2}} < 1,$$

we can take $T^* = T$. □

Theorem 2. Suppose that (7) and (8) hold, and $U_0 \in D(\mathcal{A})$ satisfying (25). Then the solution of system (5) is global and bounded.

Proof. It suffices to show that $\|u_t\|^2 + \|\Delta u\|^2$ is bounded independently of t . We get from (24) and (26)

$$\begin{aligned}
E(0) &\geq E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \|u_t\|^2 + J(t) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} \|u_t\|^2 + \frac{(q-2)}{2q} \|\Delta u\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\|u_t\|^2 + \|\Delta u\|^2 \leq \xi_1 E(0),$$

where ξ_1 is a positive constant, which depends only on the parameter q . □

5. DECAY

In this part, we prove the decay estimates of energy to the problem (5). For $N > 0$ and $\varepsilon_1 > 0$, we define a perturbed modified energy by

$$L(t) = NE(t) + \varepsilon_1 K_1(t) + K_2(t)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
(27) \quad K_1(t) &= \int_{\Omega} u_t u dx + \frac{b}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\xi^2 + \beta) |M(x, \xi, t)|^2 d\xi dx, \\
K_2(t) &= \tau \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 e^{-\tau\rho} |z(x, \rho, t)|^2 d\rho dx,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$M(x, \xi, t) = \int_0^t \phi(x, \xi, \sigma) d\sigma - \frac{\tau\eta(\xi)}{\xi^2 + \beta} \int_0^1 f_0(x, -\rho\tau) d\rho + \frac{u_0(x)\eta(\xi)}{\xi^2 + \beta}.$$

Lemma 6. *Let (u, ϕ, z) be regular solution of the problem (5), then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\xi^2 + \beta) \phi(x, \xi, t) M(x, \xi, t) d\xi dx \\
 (28) \quad &= \int_{\Omega} u(x, t) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi(x, \xi, t) \eta(\xi) d\xi dx \\
 &\quad - \tau \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 z(x, \rho, t) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \eta(\xi) \phi(x, \xi, t) d\xi d\rho dx \\
 &\quad - \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\phi(x, \xi, t)|^2 d\xi dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using the second equation in (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\xi^2 + \beta) \phi(x, \xi, t) &= z(x, 1, t) \eta(\xi) - \phi_t(x, \xi, t) \\
 &= \eta(\xi) [z(x, 1, t) - z(x, 0, t)] \\
 &\quad + u_t(x, t) \eta(\xi) - \phi_t(x, \xi, t).
 \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$-\tau \int_0^1 z_t(x, \rho, t) d\rho = \int_0^1 z_{\rho}(x, \rho, t) d\rho = z(x, 1, t) - z(x, 0, t).$$

After this

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\xi^2 + \beta) \phi(x, \xi, t) &= -\tau \eta(\xi) \int_0^1 z_t(x, \rho, t) d\rho \\
 &\quad + u_t(x, t) \eta(\xi) - \phi_t(x, \xi, t).
 \end{aligned}$$

Integrating the last equation over $[0, t]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^t (\xi^2 + \beta) \phi(x, \xi, \sigma) d\sigma &= -\tau \eta(\xi) \int_0^1 z(x, \rho, t) d\rho \\
 &\quad + \tau \eta(\xi) \int_0^1 f_0(x, -\rho\tau) d\rho \\
 &\quad + u(x, t) \eta(\xi) - u_0(x) \eta(\xi) - \phi(x, \xi, t).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (29) \quad (\xi^2 + \beta) M(x, \xi, t) &= -\tau \eta(\xi) \int_0^1 z(x, \rho, t) d\rho \\
 &\quad + u(x, t) \eta(\xi) - \phi(x, \xi, t).
 \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying (29) by ϕ and integrating over $\Omega \times (-\infty, +\infty)$, we get (28). \square

Lemma 7. *Let (u, ϕ, z) be regular solution of the problem (5), then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\xi^2 + \beta) |M(x, \xi, t)|^2 d\xi dx \right| \\
 (30) \quad & \leq 3\tau^2 A_0 \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 |z(x, \rho, t)|^2 d\rho dx + 3A_0 C_*^2 \|\Delta u\|^2 \\
 & + \frac{3}{\beta} \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\phi(x, \xi, t)|^2 d\xi dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Invoking (29), to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\xi^2 + \beta) |M(x, \xi, t)|^2 d\xi dx \right| \\
 (31) \quad & \leq \tau^2 A_0 \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_0^1 z(x, \rho, t) \right)^2 dx \\
 & + A_0 \|u\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|\phi(x, \xi, t)|^2}{\xi^2 + \beta} d\xi dx \\
 & + 2 \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|\phi(x, \xi, t) u(x, t) \eta(\xi)|}{\xi^2 + \beta} d\xi dx \\
 & 2\tau A_0 \int_{\Omega} \left| u(x, t) \int_0^1 z(x, \rho, t) d\rho \right| dx \\
 & + 2\tau \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|\phi(x, \xi, t) \eta(\xi) \int_0^1 z(x, \rho, t) d\rho|}{\xi^2 + \beta} d\xi dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Next, we aim to bound the right-hand side of equation (31). Applying Hölder's inequality gives us

$$(32) \quad \int_0^1 z(x, \rho, t) d\rho \leq \left(\int_0^1 |z(x, \rho, t)|^2 d\rho \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

To estimate the fourth and fifth terms, we apply Young's inequality, obtaining

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|\phi(x, \xi, t) u(x, t) \eta(\xi)|}{\xi^2 + \beta} d\xi dx \\
 & \leq \frac{A_0}{2} \|u\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|\phi(x, \xi, t)|^2}{\xi^2 + \beta} d\xi dx
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\tau \int_{\Omega} \left| u(x, t) \int_0^1 z(x, \rho, t) d\rho \right| dx \leq \frac{\tau^2}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 |z(x, \rho, t)|^2 d\rho dx + \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2.$$

For the final term, applying Young's inequality, (32) along with Lemma 3 yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| \frac{\phi(x, \xi, t) \eta(\xi) \int_0^1 z(x, \rho, t) d\rho}{\xi^2 + \beta} \right| d\xi dx \\ & \leq \frac{\tau^2 A_0}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 |z(x, \rho, t)|^2 d\rho dx \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|\phi(x, \xi, t)|^2}{\xi^2 + \beta} d\xi dx. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\xi^2 + \beta) |M(x, \xi, t)|^2 d\xi dx \right| \\ & \leq 3\tau^2 \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 |z(x, \rho, t)|^2 d\rho dx + 3A_0 \|u\|^2 \\ & \quad + 3 \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|\phi(x, \xi, t)|^2}{\xi^2 + \beta} d\xi dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $\frac{1}{\xi^2 + \beta} \leq \frac{1}{\beta}$ and Poincaré's inequality, then (30) is established. \square

Lemma 8. For ε_1 small and N large enough, we have

$$(33) \quad \frac{N}{2} E(t) \leq L(t) \leq 2NE(t), \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

Proof. Using Young's inequality and Poincaré's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} L(t) & \leq NE(t) + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} \|u_t\|^2 + \tau \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 e^{-\tau\rho} |z(x, \rho, t)|^2 d\rho dx \\ & \quad + \frac{\varepsilon_1 C_*^2}{2} \|\Delta u\|^2 + \frac{b\varepsilon_1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\xi^2 + \beta) |M(x, \xi, t)|^2 d\xi dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using (6) and Lemma 7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L(t) & \leq \frac{1}{2} \{N + \varepsilon_1\} \|u_t\|^2 - \frac{N}{q} \|u\|_q^q \\ & \quad + \tau \left\{ Ns + \frac{3s\tau\varepsilon_1}{2} \right\} \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 |z(x, \rho, t)|^2 d\rho dx \\ & \quad + \tau \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 e^{-\tau\rho} |z(x, \rho, t)|^2 d\rho dx \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} (N + \varepsilon_1 C_*^2 \{1 + 3s\}) \|\Delta u\|^2 \\ & \quad + \frac{b}{2} \left(N + \frac{3\varepsilon_1}{\beta} \right) \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\phi(x, \xi, t)|^2 d\xi dx. \end{aligned}$$

So, by using (22), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 2NE(t) - L(t) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \{N - \varepsilon_1\} \|u_t\|^2 + \frac{N}{q} I(t) \\
 &\quad + \tau \left\{ \frac{(q-1)sN}{q} - e^{-\tau} - \frac{3s\tau\varepsilon_1}{2} \right\} \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 |z(x, \rho, t)|^2 d\rho dx \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{(q-2)N}{q} - \varepsilon_1 C_*^2 \{1 + 3s\} \right\} \|\Delta u\|^2 \\
 &\quad + \frac{b}{2} \left\{ \frac{(q-2)N}{q} - \frac{3\varepsilon_1}{\beta} \right\} \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\phi(x, \xi, t)|^2 d\xi dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 L(t) - \frac{N}{2} E(t) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{N}{2} - \varepsilon_1 \right\} \|u_t\|^2 + \frac{N}{2q} I(t) \\
 &\quad + \tau \left\{ \frac{(q-1)sN}{2q} - e^{-\tau} - \frac{3s\tau\varepsilon_1}{2} \right\} \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 |z(x, \rho, t)|^2 d\rho dx \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{(q-2)N}{2q} - \varepsilon_1 C_*^2 \{1 + 3s\} \right\} \|\Delta u\|^2 \\
 &\quad + \frac{b}{2} \left\{ \frac{(q-2)N}{q} - \frac{3\varepsilon_1}{\beta} \right\} \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\phi(x, \xi, t)|^2 d\xi dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

By fixing ε_1 small and N large enough, we obtain $L(t) - \frac{N}{2} E(t) \geq 0$ and $2NE(t) - L(t) \geq 0$. The proof is completed. \square

Lemma 9. *Suppose that (1) and (6) hold. Then, the functional K_1 defined by (27) satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_1'(t) &= C_1 \|u_t\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta u\|^2 - b \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\phi(x, \xi, t)|^2 d\xi dx \\
 (34) \quad &\quad + \frac{b}{4} \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\xi^2 + \beta) |\phi(x, \xi, t)|^2 d\xi dx \\
 &\quad + \tau^2 s \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 |z(x, \rho, t)|^2 d\rho dx + \|u\|_q^q,
 \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant C_1 .

Proof. A direct differentiation of K_1 , using Lemma 6, gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_1'(t) &= \|u_t\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} u u_{tt} dx + b \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\xi^2 + \beta) \phi(x, \xi, t) M(x, \xi, t) d\xi dx \\
 &= \|u_t\|^2 - \|\Delta u\|^2 - b\tau \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 z(x, \rho, t) \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \eta(\xi) \phi(x, \xi, t) d\xi d\rho dx \\
 &\quad + \|u\|^2 - \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\phi(x, \xi, t)|^2 d\xi dx - \alpha_2 \int_{\Omega} u_t u dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to Young's inequality and Lemma 3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
K_1'(t) &\leq (1 + \eta_1 \alpha_2) \|u_t\|^2 - \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_2 C_2^*}{4\eta_1}\right) \|\Delta u\|^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{b}{4} \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\xi^2 + \beta) |\phi(x, \xi, t)|^2 d\xi dx \\
&\quad + \tau^2 s \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 |z(x, \rho, t)|^2 d\rho dx + \|u\|_q^q \\
&\quad - b \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\phi(x, \xi, t)|^2 d\xi dx.
\end{aligned}$$

By choosing $\eta_1 = \frac{\alpha_2 C_2^*}{2}$, then (34) is established. \square

Lemma 10. *Assume that (1) and (6) hold. Then the functional K_2 and using the third equation in (5), we have*

$$(35) \quad K_2'(t) \leq -\tau e^{-\tau} \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 |z(x, \rho, t)|^2 d\rho dx + \|u_t\|_q^q.$$

Proof. By differentiating K_2 with respect to time and applying the third equation from reference (5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
K_2'(t) &= -2\tau \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 e^{-\tau\rho} z(x, \rho, t) z_t(x, \rho, t) d\rho dx \\
&= -2 \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 e^{-\tau\rho} z(x, \rho, t) z_{\rho}(x, \rho, t) d\rho dx \\
&= - \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 \frac{d}{d\rho} \left[e^{-\tau\rho} |z(x, \rho, t)|^2 \right] d\rho dx \\
&\quad - \tau \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 e^{-\tau\rho} |z(x, \rho, t)|^2 d\rho dx \\
&= -\tau \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 e^{-\tau\rho} |z(x, \rho, t)|^2 d\rho dx \\
&\quad - e^{-\tau} \int_{\Omega} |z(x, 1, t)|^2 dx + \|u_t\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Then (35) is established. \square

Theorem 3. Assume that (1) and (6) hold, and $U_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ satisfying (25). Then any solution of (5) satisfies

$$E(t) \leq K e^{-wt}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

for some positive constants K and w independent of t .

Proof. By using (34) and (35), we get, for all $t \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} (36) \quad L'(t) &\leq -(NC - C_1\varepsilon_1 - 1) \|u_t\|_2^2 - \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} \|\Delta u\|^2 \\ &\quad + \varepsilon_1 \|u\|_q^q - b\varepsilon_1 \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\phi(x, \xi, t)|^2 d\xi dx \\ &\quad - \frac{b}{2} \left(N - \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}\right) \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\xi^2 + \beta) |\phi(x, \xi, t)|^2 d\xi dx \\ &\quad - \tau (e^{-\tau} - s\tau\varepsilon_1) \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 |z(x, \rho, t)|^2 d\rho dx. \end{aligned}$$

At this stage, we select ε_1 sufficiently small to ensure that

$$e^{-\tau} - s\tau\varepsilon_1 > 0,$$

and subsequently choose N large enough to satisfy the condition

$$N > \max \left\{ \frac{C_1\varepsilon_1 + 1}{C}, 2\varepsilon_1 \right\}.$$

Consequently, from the above, we deduce that there exist a positive constant m such that (36) becomes

$$L'(t) \leq -mE(t), \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

By using (33), we have

$$(37) \quad L'(t) \leq -wL(t), \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

A simple integration of (37) over $(0, t)$ leads to

$$L(t) \leq L(0)e^{-wt}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

As $L(t)$ and $E(t)$ are equivalent, we have

$$E(t) \leq ke^{-wt}, \quad t \geq 0. \quad \square$$

6. BLOW UP

In this part, we prove the blow up of the solution of problem (5). Let (u, ϕ, z) be solution of (5) and define

$$\begin{aligned} (38) \quad H(t) = -E(t) &= \frac{1}{q} \|u\|_q^q - \frac{1}{2} \|u_t\|^2 - \frac{b}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\phi(x, \xi, t)|^2 d\xi dx \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta u\|^2 - s\tau \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 |z(x, \rho, t)|^2 d\rho dx. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 11. *Assume that (6) holds. Then there exists a positive constant $C_2 > 1$, depending on Ω only, such that*

$$\|u\|_q^l \leq C_2 \left[\|u\|_q^q + \|\Delta u\|^2 \right]$$

for any $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $2 \leq l \leq q$.

Proof. If $\|u\|_q \leq 1$, then $\|u\|_q^l \leq \|u\|_q^2 \leq C_* \|\Delta u\|^2$ by Sobolev embedding theorems.

If $\|u\|_q \geq 1$, then $\|u\|_q^l \leq \|u\|_q^q$. This leads to the final result. \square

Theorem 4. *Suppose the hypotheses of Theorem 1 are satisfied. Additionally, assume that*

$$E(0) \leq 0.$$

Then the solution of system (5) blows up in finite time.

Proof. From (38) we get

$$(39) \quad H'(t) = -E'(t) \geq \frac{b}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\xi^2 + \beta) |\phi(x, \xi, t)|^2 d\xi dx,$$

hence

$$(40) \quad 0 < H(0) \leq H(t) \leq \frac{1}{q} \|u\|_q^q.$$

We then define

$$(41) \quad \varphi(t) = H^{1-\gamma}(t) + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} uu_t dx + \frac{\alpha_2 \varepsilon}{2} \|u\|^2,$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$ small to be chosen later and

$$(42) \quad 0 < \gamma < \frac{q-2}{2q}.$$

By taking a derivative of (41) and using equation (5), we get

$$(43) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi'(t) &= (1-\gamma)H^{-\gamma}H'(t) + \varepsilon \|u_t\|^2 - \varepsilon \|\Delta u\|^2 \\ &\quad - b\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} u \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \eta(\xi)\phi(x, \xi, t)d\xi dx + \varepsilon \|u\|_q^q. \end{aligned}$$

Using Young's inequality, we obtain for $\delta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{\Omega} u \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \eta(\xi)\phi(x, \xi, t)d\xi dx \\ & \geq \delta A_0 \|u\|^2 - \frac{1}{4\delta} \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\xi^2 + \beta) |\phi(x, \xi, t)|^2 d\xi dx, \end{aligned}$$

which yields, by substitution in (43),

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(t) &\geq (1-\gamma)H^{-\gamma}H'(t) + \varepsilon \|u_t\|^2 - \varepsilon \|\Delta u\|^2 - \delta s\varepsilon \|u\|^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{b\varepsilon}{4\delta} \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\xi^2 + \beta) |\phi(x, \xi, t)|^2 d\xi dx + \varepsilon \|u\|_q^q. \end{aligned}$$

Using (39), we have

$$(44) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi'(t) &\geq \left[(1 - \gamma) H^{-\gamma} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2\delta} \right] H'(t) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \|u_t\|^2 - \varepsilon \|\Delta u\|^2 - \delta s \varepsilon \|u\|^2 + \varepsilon \|u\|_q^q. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by taking δ so that $\frac{1}{2\delta} = kH^{-\gamma}(t)$, for large k to be specified later and substituting in (44), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(t) &\geq [(1 - \gamma) - \varepsilon k] H^{-\gamma}(t) H'(t) + \varepsilon \|u_t\|^2 \\ &\quad - \varepsilon \|\Delta u\|^2 - \frac{s\varepsilon}{2k} H^\gamma(t) \|u\|_2^2 + \varepsilon \|u\|_q^q. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, using (38), we have for some $0 < r < 1$

$$(45) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi'(t) &\geq [(1 - \gamma) - \varepsilon k] H^{-\gamma}(t) H'(t) + \varepsilon \frac{q(1 - r) + 2}{2} \|u_t\|^2 \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \frac{q(1 - r) - 2}{2} \|\Delta u\|^2 - \frac{s\varepsilon}{2k} H^\gamma(t) \|u\|^2 + \varepsilon r \|u\|_q^q \\ &\quad + q(1 - r)\varepsilon H(t) + \varepsilon \frac{q(1 - r)b}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\phi(x, \xi, t)|^2 d\xi dx \\ &\quad + \varepsilon q(1 - r)s\tau \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 |z(x, \rho, t)|^2 d\rho dx. \end{aligned}$$

By exploiting (40) and the inequality $\|u\| \leq C_* \|u\|_q$, we obtain

$$H^\gamma(t) \|u\|^2 \leq \left(\frac{1}{q} \right)^\gamma \|u\|_q^{q\gamma} \|u\|^2 \leq C_3 \|u\|^{q\gamma+2}.$$

Exploiting (42), we have

$$2 < q\gamma + 2 \leq q.$$

So, Lemma 11 yields

$$(46) \quad H^\gamma(t) \|u\|^2 \leq C_4 \left[\|\Delta u\|^2 + \|u\|_q^q \right].$$

Inserting (46) in (45), we obtain

$$(47) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi'(t) &\geq [(1 - \gamma) - \varepsilon k] H^{-\gamma}(t) H'(t) + \varepsilon \frac{q(1 - r) + 2}{2} \|u_t\|^2 \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \left[\frac{q(1 - r) - 2}{2} - \frac{C_4 s}{2k} \right] \|\Delta u\|_2^2 + \varepsilon \left[r - \frac{C_4 s}{2k} \right] \|u\|_q^q \\ &\quad + q(1 - r)\varepsilon H(t) + \varepsilon \frac{q(1 - r)b}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\phi(x, \xi, t)|^2 d\xi dx \\ &\quad + \varepsilon q(1 - r)s\tau \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 |z(x, \rho, t)|^2 d\rho dx. \end{aligned}$$

At this stage, we select a sufficiently small value for r , so that

$$q(1 - r) - 2 > 0$$

and a sufficiently large value for k so that the following conditions hold

$$r - \frac{C_4 s}{2k} > 0, \quad \frac{q(1-r)-2}{2} - \frac{C_4 s}{2k} > 0.$$

Given fixed values of r and k , we choose a sufficiently small ε such that

$$(1-\gamma) - \varepsilon k > 0, \quad H(0) + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} u_0 u_1 dx > 0.$$

So, (47) becomes, for some $C_5 > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(t) \geq C_5 & \left[H(t) + \|u_t\|^2 + \|\Delta u\|^2 + \|u\|_q^q \right. \\ & \left. + b \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\phi(x, \xi, t)|^2 d\xi dx + s\tau \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 |z(x, \rho, t)|^2 d\rho dx \right] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\varphi(t) \geq \varphi(0) > 0, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Conversely, applying Hölder's inequality together with the embedding inequality $L \|u\| \leq C_* \|u\|_q$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} u u_t dx & \leq \|u\| \|u_t\| \\ & \leq C_* \|u\|_q \|u_t\|. \end{aligned}$$

By applying Young's inequality alongside Lemma 11, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (48) \quad \left(\int_{\Omega} u u_t dx \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\gamma}} & \leq C_6 \left[\|u\|_q^l + \|u_t\|^2 \right] \\ & \leq C_7 \left[\|u_t\|^2 + \|\Delta u\|^2 + \|u\|_q^q \right], \end{aligned}$$

where C_6 and C_7 are positive constants and $2 \leq l = \frac{1}{1-2\gamma} \leq q$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (49) \quad \varphi^{\frac{1}{1-\gamma}}(t) & \leq 2^{\frac{1}{1-\gamma}} \left[H(t) + \left(\int_{\Omega} u u_t dx \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\gamma}} \right] \\ & \leq C_8 \left[H(t) + \|u_t\|^2 + \|\Delta u\|^2 + \|u\|_q^q \right], \quad t \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where C_8 is a positive constant. Combining (48) and (49), we arrive at

$$(50) \quad \varphi'(t) \geq C_9 \varphi^{\frac{1}{1-\gamma}}(t), \quad t \geq 0.$$

A simple integration of (50) over $(0, t)$, we get

$$\varphi(t) \geq \left(\frac{1}{\varphi^{\frac{-\gamma}{1-\gamma}}(0) - \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} C_9 t} \right)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{\gamma}}.$$

So, $\varphi(t)$ blows up in time

$$T \leq T^* = \frac{1 - \gamma}{C_9 \gamma \varphi^{\frac{1}{1-\gamma}}(0)}.$$

The proof is completed. \square

CONCLUSION

In recent years, considerable attention has been given to the wave equation with fractional time delays. However, to the best of our knowledge, no studies have addressed the existence, decay, and blow-up of solutions for the Petrovsky equation incorporating a fractional time delay. In this paper, under appropriate assumptions, we establish results concerning the existence, decay, and blow-up of solutions within a bounded domain.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Al'shin, M.O. Korpusov, A.G. Sveshnikov, *Blow-up in nonlinear Sobolev-type equations*, De Gruyter Series in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications, Berlin-New York, 2011.
- [2] R. Aounallah, A. Benaissa, A. Zarái, *Blow-up and asymptotic behavior for a wave equation with a time delay condition of fractional type*, Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo Series 2, 70 (2021), 1061-1081.
- [3] A. Benaissa, H. Benkhedda, *Global existence and energy decay of solutions to a wave equation with a dynamic boundary dissipation of fractional derivative type*, Z. Anal. Anwend., 37 (2018), 315-339.
- [4] E. Blanc, G. Chiavassa, B. Lombard, *Boit-JKD model: simulation of 1D transient poroelastic waves with fractional derivative*, J. Comput. Phys., 237 (2013), 1-20.
- [5] J. U. Choi, R.C. Maccamy, *Fractional order Volterra equations with applications to elasticity*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 139 (1989), 448-464.
- [6] J. Ferreira, N. Irkil, E. Pişkin, C. Raposo, M. Shahrouzi, *Blow up of Solutions for a Petrovsky type Equation with Logarithmic Nonlinearity*, Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society, 59 (6) (2022), 1495-1510.
- [7] V. Georgiev, G. Todorova, *Existence of solutions of the wave equation with nonlinear damping and source terms*, J. Differ. Equ., 109 (1994), 295-308.
- [8] B. Hu, *Blow-Up Theories for Semilinear Parabolic Equations*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 2018, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [9] B. Mbodje, *Wave energy decay under fractional derivative controls*, IMA J. Math. Control Inf., 23 (2006), 237-257.
- [10] S. Nicaise, C. Pignotti, *Stabilization of the wave equation with boundary or internal distributed delay*, Differ. Integral Equ., 21 (2008), 935-958.
- [11] M. Kafini, S. Messaoudi, *A blow-up result in a nonlinear wave equation with delay*, Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics, 13 (2016), 237-247.

- [12] M. Kafini, S.A. Messaoudi, *Local existence and blow-up of solutions to a logarithmic nonlinear wave equation with delay*, Appl Anal., 90 (2020), 530-547.
- [13] M. Kirane, N. E. Tatar, *Exponential growth for fractionally damped wave equation*, Z. Anal. Anwend., 22 (2003), 167-178.
- [14] V. Komornik, *Exact Controllability and Stabilization*, The Multiplier Method, Masson-John Wiley, Paris, 1994.
- [15] K.B. Oldham, J. Spainer, *The Fractional Calculus*, Academic Press, New York, London, 1974.
- [16] A. Pazy, *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, Springer, New York, 1983.
- [17] E. Pişkin, *Blow up of Solutions of Evolution Equations*, Pegem Publishing, 2022.
- [18] E. Pişkin, *Decay of Solutions of Evolution Equations*, Pegem Publishing, 2025.
- [19] E. Pişkin, T. Uysal, *Blow up of the solutions for the Petrovsky equation with fractional damping terms*, Malaya Journal of Matematik, 6 (2018), 85-90.
- [20] I. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equations*, Academic Press, 1999.
- [21] I. G. Petrovsky, *Über das Cauchysche Problem für Systeme von partiellen Differentialgleichungen*, Mat. sb. (Mosk.), 44 (1937), 815-870.
- [22] I. G. Petrovsky, *Sur l'analyticité des solutions des systèmes d'équations différentielles*, Mat. sb. (Mosk.), 47 (1939), 3-70.
- [23] S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas, O. I. Marichev, *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives*, Amsterdam: Gordon and Breach 1993 [Engl. Trans. from the Russian 1987].
- [24] B. Straughan, *The energy method, stability, and nonlinear convection*, Springer, 2004.

ERHAN PİŞKİN

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

DİCLE UNIVERSITY

DİYARBAKIR, 21200

TÜRKİYE

E-mail address: episkin@dicle.edu.tr

ERKAN SANCAR

INSTITUTE OF NATURAL AND APPLIED SCIENCES

DİCLE UNIVERSITY

DİYARBAKIR, 21200

TÜRKİYE

E-mail address: erkan_sancar@hotmail.com