

# Ideal convergence and ideal Cauchy sequences in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces

AYKUT OR, GÖKAY KARABACAK\*

ABSTRACT. The present study introduces the concepts of ideal convergence ( $I$ -convergence), ideal Cauchy ( $I$ -Cauchy) sequences,  $I^*$ -convergence, and  $I^*$ -Cauchy sequences in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces. It defines  $I$ -limit and  $I$ -cluster points as a sequence in these spaces. Afterward, it examines some of their basic properties. Lastly, the paper discusses whether phenomena should be further investigated.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Based on the concept of density of positive natural numbers, statistical convergence was independently defined by Fast [8] and Steinhaus [9] in 1951. Adopting an ideal  $I$  of some subsets of the set of positive integers, Kostyko et al. [18] have characterized ideal convergence ( $I$ -convergence) as a generalization of ordinary and statistical convergence and also conceptualized the  $I^*$ -convergence closely related to  $I$ -convergence. Besides, Dems [13] has extended the statistical Cauchy sequence [10] to ideals and introduced ideal Cauchy ( $I$ -Cauchy) sequences. Nabiyev et al. [3] have proposed  $I^*$ -Cauchy sequences and investigated the relationship between these sequences and  $I$ -Cauchy sequences.

Fuzzy sets, defined by Zadeh [15] in 1965, have been used in many fields, such as artificial intelligence, decision-making, image analysis, probability theory, and weather forecasting. In particular, Kramosil and Michalek [12] and Kaleva and Seikkala [17] have first examined the concept of fuzzy metric spaces (FMSs). Furthermore, George and Veeramani [2], using continuous  $t$ -norms, extensively revised the concept of fuzzy metric space originally proposed by Kramosil. As a result, they established a Hausdorff topology for fuzzy metric spaces and have introduced significant advancements in this field.

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 40A35; Secondary: 54A40, 40A05.

*Key words and phrases*. Ideal convergence, ideal Cauchy sequences, cluster points, limit points, intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces.

*Full paper*. Received 7 March 2023, accepted 26 May 2023, available 9 July 2023.

Lately, Mihet [6] has studied the notion of point convergence ( $p$ -convergence), a weaker concept than ordinary convergence. Moreover, Gregori et al. [20] have suggested the  $s$ -convergence. Morillas and Sapena have defined the concept of standard convergence ( $std$ -convergence) [19]. Gregori and Miñana [21] have introduced the strong convergence ( $st$ -convergence), a stronger concept than ordinary convergence. Li et al. [5] have propounded the statistical convergence and statistical Cauchy sequence in FMSs and have examined some of their basic properties.

In 1986, Atanasov [14] generalized a fuzzy set introduced by Zadeh [15], accepting the membership as a fuzzy logic value rather than a single truth value, and introduced the Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set (IFS). Later, in 2004, Park [11] generalized the notion of fuzzy metric spaces to the intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces (IFMSs) with the help of an intuitionistic set. Many studies, such as fixed point theory [16] and convergence types [1], have been studied and introduced in IFMSs. One of these studies, the statistical convergence in IFMSs, was dealt with by Varol in 2022 [4].

The current paper can be summarized in the following way. Section 2 presents some basic definitions and properties required in the following sections. Section 3 proposes the concepts of  $I$  and  $I^*$ -convergence,  $I$  and  $I^*$ -Cauchy sequence in IFMSs and suggests some of their basic properties. Section 4 defines the notions of  $I$ -limit points and  $I$ -cluster points of a sequence in IFMSs. The final section discusses the need for further research.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

This section presents the exhaustive definitions, basic properties, and theorems for ideal convergence, ideal Cauchy sequences, IFMSs and statistical convergence in IFMSs.

**Definition 1** ([7]). Let  $\circ : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a binary operation. We say that  $\circ$  is a triangular norm ( $t$ -norm) if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1)  $\circ$  is both associative and commutative;
- (2)  $t \circ 1 = t$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ;
- (3) Whenever  $t_1 \leq t_3$  and  $t_2 \leq t_4$  for each  $t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4 \in [0, 1]$ , it holds that  $t_1 \circ t_3 \leq t_2 \circ t_4$ .

**Definition 2** ([7]). Let  $\nabla : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a binary operation. We say that  $\nabla$  is a triangular conorm ( $t$ -conorm) if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1)  $\nabla$  is both associative and commutative;
- (2)  $t \nabla 0 = t$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ;
- (3) Whenever  $t_1 \leq t_3$  and  $t_2 \leq t_4$  for each  $t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4 \in [0, 1]$ , it holds that  $t_1 \nabla t_3 \leq t_2 \nabla t_4$ .

**Remark 1.** We utilize the concepts of the triangular norm, often referred to as  $t$ -norm, and triangular conorm, commonly known as  $t$ -conorm, to define and characterize fuzzy intersections and fuzzy unions.

**Example 1** ([7]). According to the previous two definitions, the following operators are basic examples of  $t$ -norm and  $t$ -conorms, respectively.

- (1)  $a \circ b = ab$ ;
- (2)  $a \circ b = \min\{a, b\}$ ;
- (3)  $a \nabla b = \max\{a, b\}$ ;
- (4)  $a \nabla b = \min\{a + b, 1\}$ .

With the help of definition 1 and 2; Park [11] has recently introduced the IFMS as follows.

**Definition 3** ([11]). Let  $\mathbb{X}$  be an arbitrary set,  $\circ$  be a continuous  $t$ -norm,  $\nabla$  be a continuous  $t$ -conorm, and  $\mu, \nu$  be fuzzy sets on  $\mathbb{X}^2 \times (0, \infty)$ . If  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  satisfy the following conditions: for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathbb{X}$  and  $u, s > 0$ ,

- (1)  $\mu(x_1, x_2, u) + \nu(x_1, x_2, u) \leq 1$ ;
- (2)  $\mu(x_1, x_2, u) > 0$ ;
- (3)  $\mu(x_1, x_2, u) = 1 \Leftrightarrow x_1 = x_2$ ;
- (4)  $\mu(x_1, x_2, u) = \mu(x_2, x_1, u)$ ;
- (5)  $\mu(x_1, x_3, u + s) \geq \mu(x_1, x_2, u) \circ \mu(x_2, x_3, s)$ ;
- (6) The function  $(\mu)_{x_1 x_2} : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1]$  is continuous;
- (7)  $\nu(x_1, x_2, u) > 0$ ;
- (8)  $\nu(x_1, x_2, u) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x_1 = x_2$ ;
- (9)  $\nu(x_1, x_2, u) = \nu(x_2, x_1, u)$ ;
- (10)  $\nu(x_1, x_3, u + s) \leq \nu(x_1, x_2, u) \nabla \nu(x_2, x_3, s)$ ;
- (11) The function  $(\nu)_{x_1 x_2} : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1]$  is continuous;

then a 5-tuple  $(\mathbb{X}, \mu, \nu, \circ, \nabla)$  is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space.

The functions  $\mu(x_1, x_2, u)$  and  $\nu(x_1, x_2, u)$  denote the degree of nearness and the degree of non-nearness between  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  concerning  $u$ , respectively.

**Example 2** ([11]). Let  $(\mathbb{X}, d)$  be a metric space. Define  $a \circ b = ab$  and  $a \nabla b = \min\{a + b, 1\}$ , for all  $a, b \in [0, 1]$ , and let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be fuzzy sets on  $\mathbb{X}^2 \times (0, \infty)$  defined as

$$\mu(x_1, x_2, u) = \frac{u}{u + d(x_1, x_2)}, \quad \nu(x_1, x_2, u) = \frac{d(x_1, x_2)}{u + d(x_1, x_2)}$$

for  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{X}$  and  $u > 0$ . Then  $(\mathbb{X}, \mu, \nu, \circ, \nabla)$  is an IFMS.

**Remark 2** ([4]). Let  $(\mathbb{X}, \mu, \nu, \circ, \nabla)$  be an IFMS. Then,  $(\mathbb{X}, \mu, \circ)$  is a FMS. Conversely, if  $(\mathbb{X}, \mu, \circ)$  is a FMS, then  $(\mathbb{X}, \mu, 1 - \mu, \circ, \nabla)$  is an IFMS, where  $a \nabla b = 1 - [(1 - a) \circ (1 - b)]$ , for all  $a, b \in [0, 1]$ .

Park [11] introduced a comprehensive definition of convergence of sequence in IFMSs as below.

**Definition 4** ([11]). Let  $(\mathbb{X}, \mu, \nu, \circ, \nabla)$  be an IFMS. Then, a sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $\mathbb{X}$  is said to be convergent to  $x_0 \in \mathbb{X}$ , if for all  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  and  $u > 0$ , there exists  $n_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \geq n_\varepsilon$  implies

$$\mu(x_n, x_0, u) > 1 - \varepsilon, \quad \nu(x_n, x_0, u) < \varepsilon$$

or equivalently

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(x_n, x_0, u) = 1, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu(x_n, x_0, u) = 0$$

and is denoted by  $\overset{\mu}{\nu} - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_0$  or  $x_n \overset{\mu}{\nu} \rightarrow x_0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Definition 5** ([11]). Let  $(\mathbb{X}, \mu, \nu, \circ, \nabla)$  be an IFMS. Then, a sequence  $(x_n)$  is referred to as Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{X}$ , if for all  $u > 0$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , there exists  $n_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n, N \geq n_\varepsilon$  implies

$$\mu(x_n, x_N, u) > 1 - \varepsilon, \quad \nu(x_n, x_N, u) < \varepsilon$$

or equivalently

$$\lim_{n, N \rightarrow \infty} \mu(x_n, x_N, u) = 1, \quad \lim_{n, N \rightarrow \infty} \nu(x_n, x_N, u) = 0.$$

**Definition 6** ([4]). Let  $(\mathbb{X}, \mu, \nu, \circ, \nabla)$  be an IFMS. Then, a sequence  $(x_n)$  is called statistically convergent to  $x_0 \in \mathbb{X}$ , if for all  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  and  $u > 0$ ,

$$\delta(\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(x_n, x_0, u) \leq 1 - \varepsilon \text{ or } \nu(x_n, x_0, u) \geq \varepsilon\}) = 0$$

or equivalently

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(x_n, x_0, u) \leq 1 - \varepsilon \text{ or } \nu(x_n, x_0, u) \geq \varepsilon\}|}{n} = 0.$$

**Example 3** ([4]). Let  $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a \circ b = ab$ , and  $a \nabla b = \min\{a + b, 1\}$  for all  $a, b \in [0, 1]$ . Define  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  by

$$\mu(x_1, x_2, u) = \frac{u}{u + |x_1 - x_2|}, \quad \nu(x_1, x_2, u) = \frac{|x_1 - x_2|}{u + |x_1 - x_2|}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{X}$  and  $u > 0$ . Then,  $(\mathbb{R}, \mu, \nu, \circ, \nabla)$  is an IFMS. Now define a sequence  $(x_n)$  by

$$x_n := \begin{cases} 1, & \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, n = k^2; \\ 0, & \exists k \in \mathbb{N} \ni n \neq k^2. \end{cases}$$

Then,  $(x_n)$  is statistically convergent to 0.

**Definition 7** ([4]). Let  $(\mathbb{X}, \mu, \nu, \circ, \nabla)$  be an IFMS. Then, a sequence  $(x_n)$  is called statistically Cauchy sequence, if for all  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  and  $u > 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\delta(\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(x_n, x_N, u) \leq 1 - \varepsilon \text{ or } \nu(x_n, x_N, u) \geq \varepsilon\}) = 0.$$

An interesting generalization of statistical convergence was introduced by Kostryko et al. [18] with the help of an admissible ideal  $I$  of subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ , the set of positive integers. Next, we recall the basic terminology used by the authors to define this new type of convergence.

**Definition 8** ([18]). Let  $\mathbb{X}$  be a non-empty set. A family of subsets  $I \subseteq P(\mathbb{X})$  is referred to as an ideal in  $\mathbb{X}$ , if

- (1)  $\emptyset \in I$ ;
- (2)  $T, S \in I \Rightarrow T \cup S \in I$ ;
- (3)  $(T \in I \wedge S \subseteq T) \Rightarrow S \in I$ .

**Definition 9** ([18]). Let  $I$  be an ideal in  $\mathbb{X}$ . Then,  $I$  is called non-trivial ideal such that  $P(\mathbb{X}) \neq I$  and  $I \neq \emptyset$ . Additionally,  $I$  is defined admissible ideal, which is a non-trivial ideal  $I \subseteq P(\mathbb{X})$ , if  $\{\{x\} : x \in \mathbb{X}\} \subseteq I$ .

**Example 4** ([18]). Let  $\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} T_k$  be a decomposition of  $\mathbb{N}$ , assume that  $T_k$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ) are infinite sets. Express by  $\mathcal{K}$  the family of all  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  such that  $A$  coincides only a finite number of  $T_k$ . Then, it is easy to see that  $\mathcal{K}$  is an admissible ideal in  $\mathbb{N}$ .

**Definition 10** ([18]). Let  $I \subseteq P(\mathbb{N})$  be an admissible ideal,  $(P_i)$  be a sequence of mutually disjoint sets of  $I$ , and  $(R_i)$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Then,  $I$  satisfies the condition (AP), if for all  $(P_i)$ , there is a sequence  $(R_i)$  such that for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $P_i \Delta R_i$  is finite and  $R = \bigcup_i R_i \in I$ . Here,  $\Delta$  denotes the symmetric difference. It must be noted that  $R_i \in I$ .

**Definition 11** ([18]). Let  $\mathbb{X}$  be a non-empty set. A family of subsets  $\emptyset \neq F \subseteq P(\mathbb{X})$  is referred to as a filter in  $\mathbb{X}$ , if

- (1)  $\emptyset \notin F$ ;
- (2)  $T, S \in F \Rightarrow T \cap S \in F$ ;
- (3)  $(S \in F \wedge S \subseteq T) \Rightarrow T \in F$ .

**Remark 3** ([18]). The filter  $F(I) = \{\mathbb{X} \setminus S : S \in I\}$  in  $\mathbb{X}$  is called the associated filter with ideal  $I$ .

**Proposition 1** ([3]). Let  $I \subseteq P(\mathbb{N})$  be an admissible ideal with the condition (AP),  $(P_i)$  be a countable collection of subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ , and  $(P_i) \in F(I)$ . Then, there exists a set  $P \subset \mathbb{N}$  such that  $P \in F(I)$  and for all  $i$ ,  $P \setminus P_i$  is finite.

**Definition 12** ([18]). Let  $I$  be a non-trivial ideal in  $\mathbb{N}$ . A sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  is called ideal convergent ( $I$ -convergent) to  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ , if for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$A(\varepsilon) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : |x_n - x_0| \geq \varepsilon\} \in I$$

and is denoted by  $I - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_0$  or  $x_n \xrightarrow{I} x_0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Here, if  $I$  is an admissible ideal, then convergence in the ordinary sense implies  $I$ -convergence.

**Definition 13** ([18]). Let  $I$  be a non-trivial ideal in  $\mathbb{N}$ . A sequence  $(x_n)$  is referred to as  $I^*$ -convergent to  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ , if there exists a set

$$H = \{h_1 < h_2 < \cdots < h_k < \cdots\} \in F(I)$$

such that

$$\lim_{\substack{h_k \rightarrow \infty \\ h_k \in H}} x_{h_k} = x_0.$$

**Definition 14** ([3]). Let  $I$  be an admissible ideal in  $\mathbb{N}$ . A sequence  $(x_n)$  is called an ideal Cauchy ( $I$ -Cauchy) sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$ , if for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $N = N(\varepsilon)$  such that

$$A(\varepsilon) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : |x_n - x_N| \geq \varepsilon\} \in I.$$

**Definition 15** ([3]). Let  $I$  be an admissible ideal in  $\mathbb{N}$ . A sequence  $(x_n)$  is referred to as an  $I^*$ -Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$ , if there exists a set

$$H = \{h_1 < h_2 < \cdots < h_k < \cdots\} \in F(I)$$

such that

$$\lim_{\substack{h_k, h_p \rightarrow \infty \\ h_k, h_p \in H}} |x_{h_k} - x_{h_p}| = 0.$$

### 3. ${}^\mu I$ -CONVERGENCE AND ${}^\mu I$ -CAUCHY SEQUENCES

This section defines the concepts of ideal convergence and ideal Cauchy sequences in IFMSs. In addition, it provides some of basic properties.

**Definition 16.** Let  $I$  non-trivial ideal in  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $(\mathbb{X}, \mu, \nu, \circ, \nabla)$  be an IFMS. Then, a sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $\mathbb{X}$  is said to be ideal convergent to  $x_0 \in \mathbb{X}$ , if for all  $u > 0$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$A(u, \varepsilon) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(x_n, x_0, u) \leq 1 - \varepsilon, \quad \text{or} \quad \nu(x_n, x_0, u) \geq \varepsilon\} \in I$$

and is denoted by  ${}^\mu I - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_0$  or  $x_n \xrightarrow{{}^\mu I} x_0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . The number  $x_0$  is called  ${}^\mu I$ -limit of the sequence  $(x_n)$ .

**Example 5.** If we take

$$I = I_f = \{A \subseteq \mathbb{N} : A \text{ is finite}\}$$

and

$$I = I_\delta = \{A \subseteq \mathbb{N} : \delta(A) = 0\},$$

then  ${}^\mu I$ -convergence is the same as ordinary convergence and statistical convergence in IFMS, respectively.

**Remark 4.** The ordinary convergence in IFMSs implies  ${}^\mu I$ -convergence, if  $I$  is an admissible ideal.

*Proof.* Let  $x_n \xrightarrow[\nu]{\mu} x_0$  and  $I$  is an admissible ideal. In this case, for all  $u > 0$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , there exists a positive integer  $n_0$  such that  $n \geq n_0$  implies

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu(x_n, x_0, u) > 1 - \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \nu(x_n, x_0, u) < \varepsilon, \\ K &= \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(x_n, x_0, u) \leq 1 - \varepsilon \quad \text{or} \quad \nu(x_n, x_0, u) \geq \varepsilon\} \\ &\subseteq \mathbb{N} \setminus \{n_0 + 1, n_0 + 2, \dots\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the set of  $K$  is finite and  $I$  is an admissible ideal,  $K \in I$ . Hence,  ${}^{\mu}I - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_0$ . □

Next, we shall explore the compatibility of ideal convergence with various convergence axioms. Presented below are the widely recognized axioms of classical convergence:

- I** A constant sequence  $(x_0, x_0, \dots, x_0, \dots)$  converges to  $x_0$ ;
- II** The limit of a convergent sequence is unique;
- III** Every subsequence of the converged sequence is convergent and has the same limit.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $(\mathbb{X}, \mu, \nu, \circ, \nabla)$  be an IFMS and  $(x_n)$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{X}$ .*

- (1) *The  ${}^{\mu}I$ -convergence satisfies (I) and (II).*
- (2) *Every subsequence of an  ${}^{\mu}I$ -convergent sequence is not  ${}^{\mu}I$ -convergent, if  $I$  contains an infinite set.*

*Proof.*

- (1) It is obvious that  ${}^{\mu}I$ -convergence satisfies the proposition (I). We prove that it satisfies (II) as well. Suppose that  $x_n \xrightarrow[\nu]{\mu} x_0, x_n \xrightarrow[\nu]{\mu} x_1$ , and  $x_0 \neq x_1$ . Choose  $u > 0$  and  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{n}, (n = 2, 3, \dots)$ . Then, by assumption and Remark 3 the sets

$$\mathbb{N} \setminus A = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(x_n, x_1, u) > 1 - \varepsilon, \quad \text{and} \quad \nu(x_n, x_1, u) < \varepsilon\} \in F(I),$$

$$\mathbb{N} \setminus B = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(x_n, x_2, u) > 1 - \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \nu(x_n, x_2, u) < \varepsilon\} \in F(I).$$

But then the set  $(\mathbb{N} \setminus A) \cap (\mathbb{N} \setminus B)$  belongs to  $F(I)$ , too. Hence, there is an  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu(x_m, x_1, u) > 1 - \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \nu(x_m, x_1, u) < \varepsilon, \\ &\mu(x_m, x_2, u) > 1 - \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \nu(x_m, x_2, u) < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

From this  $\mu(x_1, x_2, u) = 1$  and  $\nu(x_1, x_2, u) = 0$  which is a contradiction to  $x_1 \neq x_2$ .

- (2) Suppose that an infinite set  $A = \{n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k < \dots\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  belongs to  $I$ . Put

$$B = \mathbb{N} \setminus A = \{m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_k < \dots\}.$$

The set  $B$  is infinite because in the opposite case  $\mathbb{N}$  would belong to  $I$ . Define the sequence  $(x_n)$  as follows  $x_{n_k} = x_0, x_{m_k} = x_1, k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Obviously  $\overset{\mu}{\underset{\nu}{I}}\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_0$ . In addition, the sequence  $(x_{m_k})$  of  $(x_n)$  is constant and thus  $\overset{\mu}{\underset{\nu}{I}}\text{-}\lim_{m_k \rightarrow \infty} x_{m_k} = x_1$  (see proposition (I)).

Hence,  $\overset{\mu}{\underset{\nu}{I}}$ -convergence does not satisfy the proposition (III).  $\square$

**Definition 17.** Let  $I$  be an admissible ideal in  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $(\mathbb{X}, \mu, \nu, \circ, \nabla)$  be an IFMS. Then, a sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $\mathbb{X}$  is said to be  $\overset{\mu}{\underset{\nu}{I}}$ -Cauchy sequence, if for all  $u > 0$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , there exists an integer  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$A(u, \varepsilon) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(x_n, x_N, u) \leq 1 - \varepsilon \text{ or } \nu(x_n, x_N, u) \geq \varepsilon\} \in I.$$

**Theorem 2.** Let  $I$  be an admissible ideal in  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $(\mathbb{X}, \mu, \nu, \circ, \nabla)$  be an IFMS and  $(x_n)$  is a sequence in  $\mathbb{X}$ . If the sequence  $(x_n)$  is a  $\overset{\mu}{\underset{\nu}{I}}$ -convergent sequence in  $\mathbb{X}$ , then it is  $\overset{\mu}{\underset{\nu}{I}}$ -Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{X}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_n \xrightarrow{\overset{\mu}{\underset{\nu}{I}}} x_0$ . Then, for all  $u > 0$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , we have

$$A(u, \varepsilon) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(x_n, x_0, u) \leq 1 - \varepsilon \text{ or } \nu(x_n, x_0, u) \geq \varepsilon\} \in I.$$

Because of the definition of an admissible ideal, there exists an  $N \notin A(u, \varepsilon)$ . Assume that

$$B = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(x_n, x_N, u) \leq 1 - \varepsilon \text{ or } \nu(x_n, x_N, u) \geq \varepsilon\}.$$

Consider the following inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(x_n, x_N, u) &\geq \mu\left(x_n, x_0, \frac{u}{2}\right) \circ \mu\left(x_N, x_0, \frac{u}{2}\right), \\ \nu(x_n, x_N, u) &\leq \nu\left(x_n, x_0, \frac{u}{2}\right) \nabla \nu\left(x_N, x_0, \frac{u}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $n \in B$ . Then,  $\mu(x_n, x_N, u) \leq 1 - \varepsilon$  or  $\nu(x_n, x_N, u) \geq \varepsilon$ .

If  $\mu(x_n, x_N, u) \leq 1 - \varepsilon$ , then

$$(1 - \varepsilon) \circ (1 - \varepsilon) \geq \mu\left(x_n, x_0, \frac{u}{2}\right) \circ \mu\left(x_N, x_0, \frac{u}{2}\right).$$

Moreover, we have  $\mu(x_N, x_0, u) > 1 - \varepsilon$  because  $N \notin A(u, \varepsilon)$ . Hence,  $\mu(x_n, x_0, u) \leq 1 - \varepsilon$ , then  $n \in A(u, \varepsilon)$ . In this case,  $B \subseteq A(u, \varepsilon) \in I$  for all  $u > 0$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ . Similarly, we observe that if  $\nu(x_n, x_N, u) \geq \varepsilon$ , then  $B \subseteq A(u, \varepsilon) \in I$  for all  $u > 0$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ . Consequently,  $(x_n)$  is an  $\overset{\mu}{\underset{\nu}{I}}$ -Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{X}$ .  $\square$

#### 4. $\overset{\mu}{\underset{\nu}{I}}\text{-CONVERGENCE AND } \overset{\mu}{\underset{\nu}{I}}\text{-CAUCHY SEQUENCES}$

Varol [4] proved that a sequence  $(x_n)$  in an IFMSs  $(\mathbb{X}, \mu, \nu, \circ, \nabla)$  is statistically convergent to  $x_0 \in \mathbb{X}$  if and only if there exists an increasing index sequence  $K = \{k_1 < k_2 < \dots\}$  of natural numbers such that  $\delta(K) = 1$  and

$$\overset{\mu}{\underset{\nu}{I}}\text{-}\lim_{\substack{k_n \rightarrow \infty \\ k_n \in K}} x_{k_n} = x_0.$$

We use this result to introduce the concept of  $I^*$ -convergence in an IFMS as follows.



**Definition 18.** Let  $(\mathbb{X}, \mu, \nu, \circ, \nabla)$  be an IFMS. Then, a sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $\mathbb{X}$  is said to be  $I^*$ -convergent to  $x_0 \in \mathbb{X}$ , if there exists a subset  $H = \{h_1 < h_2 < \dots\} \in F(I)$  such that

$$(1) \quad \mu - \lim_{\substack{h_k \rightarrow \infty \\ h_k \in H}} x_{h_k} = x_0.$$

The element  $x_0$  is called the  $I^*$ -limit of the sequence  $(x_n)$  and we write  $\mu I^* - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_0$ .

**Theorem 3.** Let  $(\mathbb{X}, \mu, \nu, \circ, \nabla)$  be an IFMS and  $(x_n)$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{X}$ . If  $x_n \xrightarrow{\mu I^*} x_0$ , then  $x_n \xrightarrow{\nu I} x_0$ .

*Proof.* By hypothesis, there is a set  $K \in I$  such that (1) holds, where

$$H = \mathbb{N} \setminus K = \{h_1 < h_2 < \dots < h_k < \dots\}.$$

Let  $u > 0$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ . By (1), there is a  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $\mu(x_n, x_0, u) > 1 - \varepsilon$  and  $\nu(x_n, x_0, u) < \varepsilon$  for  $n > k_0$ . Put

$$A(u, \varepsilon) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(x_n, x_0, u) \leq 1 - \varepsilon \text{ or } \nu(x_n, x_0, u) \geq \varepsilon\}.$$

Then,

$$A(u, \varepsilon) \subseteq K \cup \{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{k_0}\}.$$

Since  $I$  is an admissible ideal and  $K \in I$ ,

$$K \cup \{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{k_0}\} \in I$$

and therefore  $A(u, \varepsilon) \in I$ . □

The following Example 6 states that the converse of Theorem 3 does not always hold.

**Example 6.** Assume that  $(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|)$  denotes the space of real numbers with the usual metric, and let  $a \circ b = ab$ ,  $a \nabla b = \min\{a + b, 1\}$  for all  $a, b \in [0, 1]$ . Define  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  by

$$\mu(x_1, x_2, u) = \frac{u}{u + |x_1 - x_2|} \quad \text{and} \quad \nu(x_1, x_2, u) = \frac{|x_1 - x_2|}{u + |x_1 - x_2|}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $u > 0$ . Put  $I = \mathcal{K}$  (see Example 4). Suppose that  $x_0$  is accumulation point of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Hence, there exists a sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\mu - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_0$ . Define

$$y_n := \begin{cases} x_j, & \text{if } n \in T_j, j = 1, 2, \dots; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We choose  $u > 0$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{m} < \varepsilon$  for  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ . Therefore,

$$A(u, \varepsilon) \subseteq \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(y_n, x_0, u) \leq 1 - \frac{1}{m} \right\} \subseteq \bigcup_{s=1}^m T_s,$$

where  $A(u, \varepsilon) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(y_n, x_0, u) \leq 1 - \varepsilon\}$ . Hence, according to the notion of ideal  $A(u, \varepsilon) \in \mathcal{I}$  and so  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}^{\mu} y_n = x_0$ . Now, assume that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}^{\mu} y_n = x_0$ . Then, there exists a set  $H = \{m_k : t > k, m_k < m_t\} \in \mathcal{I}$  such that

$$\lim_{\substack{m_k \rightarrow \infty \\ m_k \in H}}^{\mu} y_n = x_0.$$

From the notion of ideal, there exists a  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$H \subseteq T_1 \cup T_2 \cup \dots \cup T_s.$$

But by notation used in proof of Theorem 3 and  $T_{s+1} \subseteq \mathbb{N} \setminus H$ , we have  $y_{m_k} = 0$  for infinitely many of  $m_k$ 's. Consequently,  $\lim_{m_k \rightarrow \infty}^{\mu} y_{m_k} = x_0$  can not be true.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $(\mathbb{X}, \mu, \nu, \circ, \nabla)$  be an IFMS,  $I$  be an admissible ideal in  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $(x_n)$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{X}$ , and  $x_0 \in \mathbb{X}$ .*

- (1) *If  $I$  has the condition (AP), then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}^{\mu} x_n = x_0$  implies  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}^{\mu} x_n = x_0$ .*
- (2) *If  $\mathbb{X}$  has at least one accumulation point and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}^{\mu} x_n = x_0$  implies  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}^{\mu} x_n = x_0$ , then  $I$  has the property (AP).*

*Proof.*

- (1) Let  $x_n \xrightarrow{\mu} x_0$  and  $I$  satisfies the condition (AP). Then, for all  $u > 0$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  the set

$$A(u, \varepsilon) = \{n : \mu(x_n, x_0, u) \leq 1 - \varepsilon \text{ or } \nu(x_n, x_0, u) \geq \varepsilon\} \in I.$$

Consequently, each of the following sets  $P_k \in I$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ )

$$P_1 = \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(x_n, x_0, u) \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{ or } \nu(x_n, x_0, u) \geq \frac{1}{2} \right\}$$

$$P_k = \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{k-1}{k} < \mu(x_n, x_0, u) \leq \frac{k}{k+1} \text{ or } \frac{1}{k+1} \leq \nu(x_n, x_0, u) < \frac{1}{k} \right\}$$

for  $k \geq 2$ . Obviously  $P_i \cap P_j = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$ . Since  $I$  satisfies (AP), there exist sets  $R_j \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  such that  $P_j \Delta R_j$  is a finite set ( $j = 1, 2, \dots$ ) and  $R = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} R_j \in I$ .

It suffices to prove that

- (2) 
$$\lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ n \in H}}^{\mu} x_n = x_0,$$

where  $H = \mathbb{N} \setminus R$ .

Let  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  and  $u > 0$ . Choose a  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{m} < \lambda$ . Then,

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(x_n, x_0, u) \leq 1 - \lambda \quad \text{or} \quad \nu(x_n, x_0, u) \geq \lambda\} \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{m+1} P_j.$$

The set on right-hand side belongs to  $I$  by the additivity of  $I$ . Since  $P_j \Delta R_j$  is finite ( $j = 1, 2, \dots$ ), there is an  $n_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^{m+1} R_j \cap (n_\varepsilon, \infty) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{m+1} P_j \cap (n_\varepsilon, \infty).$$

If we now  $n \notin R$ ,  $n > n_\varepsilon$ , then  $n \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^{m+1} R_j$  and thus  $n \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^{m+1} P_j$ .

But then

$$n \in \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(x_n, x_0, u) > 1 - \lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \nu(x_n, x_0, u) < \lambda\}.$$

Hence, (2) holds.

- (2) Suppose  $x_0 \in \mathbb{X}$  is an accumulation point of  $\mathbb{X}$ . Then, there exists a sequence  $(y_n)$  of distinct elements of  $\mathbb{X}$  such that  $y_n \neq x_0$  for any  $n$ , and  $\frac{\mu}{\nu} - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = x_0$ . Let  $\{P_1, P_2, \dots\}$  be a disjoint family of nonempty sets in  $I$ . Define a sequence  $(x_k)$  in the following way:  $x_k = y_n$  if  $k \in P_j$  and  $x_k = x_0$  if  $k \notin P_j$ , for all  $j$ . Let  $\eta \in (0, 1)$  and  $u > 0$ . Choose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{n} < \eta$ . Then,

$$(3) \quad A(u, \eta) \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{n+1} P_j$$

where  $A(u, \eta) = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(x_k, x_0, u) \leq 1 - \eta \text{ or } \nu(x_k, x_0, u) \geq \eta\}$ . Hence,  $A(u, \eta) \in I$  and  $\frac{\mu}{\nu} I - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = x_0$ . By virtue of our assumption, we have  $\frac{\mu}{\nu} I^* - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = x_0$ . Therefore, there exists a set  $R \in I$  such that  $H = \mathbb{N} \setminus R \in F(I)$  and

$$(4) \quad \frac{\mu}{\nu} - \lim_{\substack{k_n \rightarrow \infty \\ k_n \in H}} x_{k_n} = x_0$$

Put  $R_j = P_j \cap R$  for  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then,  $R_j \in I$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover,

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} R_j = R \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} P_j \subset R$$

and thus  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} R_j \in I$ . Since (4), for all  $\eta \in (0, 1)$  and  $u > 0$ ,

$$B = \{k_n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(x_{k_n}, x_0, u) \leq 1 - \eta \quad \text{or} \quad \nu(x_{k_n}, x_0, u) \geq \eta\} \subset H$$

and  $B$  is finite. Since (3),  $H \cap P_j$  is finite. In addition,

$$P_j \Delta R_j = P_j \setminus R_j = P_j \setminus R = P_j \cap H$$

and  $P_j \Delta R_j$  is finite. This proves that ideal  $I$  has the property (AP).  $\square$

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $I$  be an admissible ideal in  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbb{X}$  be an IFMS. If  $\mathbb{X}$  has no accumulation point, then  ${}^\mu_\nu I$ -convergence and  ${}^\mu_\nu I^*$ -convergence are the same.*

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in \mathbb{X}$  and  $x_n \xrightarrow{{}^\mu_\nu I} x_0$ . Thanks to Theorem 3, it suffices to prove that  $x_n \xrightarrow{{}^\mu_\nu I^*} x_0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $\mathbb{X}$  has no accumulation points, there exists  $u > 0$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$B(x_0, \varepsilon, u) = \{x \in \mathbb{X} : \mu(x_n, x_0, u) > 1 - \varepsilon \text{ and } \nu(x_n, x_0, u) < \varepsilon\} = \{x_0\}$$

From the assumption  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(x_n, x_0, u) \leq 1 - \varepsilon \text{ or } \nu(x_n, x_0, u) \geq \varepsilon\} \in I$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(x_n, x_0, u) > 1 - \varepsilon \text{ and } \nu(x_n, x_0, u) < \varepsilon\} = \\ \{n \in \mathbb{N} : x_n = x_0\} \in F(I) \end{aligned}$$

and obviously  $x_n \xrightarrow{{}^\mu_\nu I^*} x_0$ .  $\square$

**Definition 19.** Let  $I$  be an admissible ideal in  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $(\mathbb{X}, \mu, \nu, \circ, \nabla)$  be an IFMS. Then, a sequence  $(x_n)$  is referred to as  ${}^\mu_\nu I^*$ -Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{X}$ , if there exists a set

$$H = \{h_1 < h_2 < \dots < h_k < \dots\} \in F(I)$$

such that

$$(5) \quad \lim_{\substack{h_k, h_p \rightarrow \infty \\ h_k, h_p \in H}} \mu(x_{h_k}, x_{h_p}, u) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\substack{h_k, h_p \rightarrow \infty \\ h_k, h_p \in H}} \nu(x_{h_k}, x_{h_p}, u) = 0.$$

**Theorem 6.** *If a sequence  $(x_n)$  is an  ${}^\mu_\nu I^*$ -Cauchy sequence, then it is  ${}^\mu_\nu I$ -Cauchy, for all  $I$  is an admissible ideal in  $\mathbb{N}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(x_n)$  be an  ${}^\mu_\nu I^*$ -Cauchy sequence. In that case, there exists a set

$$H = \mathbb{N} \setminus K = \{h_1 < h_2 < \dots < h_k < \dots\} \in F(I)$$

such that  $\mu(x_{h_k}, x_{h_p}, u) > 1 - \varepsilon$  and  $\nu(x_{h_k}, x_{h_p}, u) < \varepsilon$ , for all  $u > 0$ ,  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  and  $h_k, h_p > k_0$ . We choose  $N = h_{k_0+1}$ . Then, for all  $u > 0$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\mu(x_{h_k}, x_N, u) > 1 - \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \nu(x_{h_k}, x_N, u) < \varepsilon, \quad h_k > k_0.$$

Hence,  $K \in I$  and

$$(6) \quad A(u, \varepsilon) \subset K \cup \{h_1 < h_2 < \dots < h_{k_0}\},$$

where  $A(u, \varepsilon) = \{h_k : \mu(x_{h_k}, x_N, u) \leq 1 - \varepsilon \text{ or } \nu(x_{h_k}, x_N, u) \geq \varepsilon\}$ . From here

$$K \cup \{h_1 < h_2 < \dots < h_{k_0}\} \in I.$$

Consequently, the sequence  $(x_n)$  is an  ${}^\mu I$ -Cauchy sequence. □

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $I$  be an admissible ideal in  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $(\mathbb{X}, \mu, \nu, \circ, \nabla)$  be an IFMS.  ${}^\mu I$ -Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{X}$  implies that  ${}^\mu I^*$ -Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{X}$  if and only if the  $I$  ideal has the condition (AP).*

*Proof.* Suppose that a sequence  $(x_n)$  be an  ${}^\mu I$ -Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{X}$  and the  $I$  ideal has the condition (AP). Then, there exists an  $N(\varepsilon)$  such that for all  $u > 0$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(x_n, x_N, u) \leq 1 - \varepsilon \text{ or } \nu(x_n, x_N, u) \geq \varepsilon\} \in I.$$

We choose

$$S_i = \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(x_n, x_{m_i}, u) > \frac{i-1}{i} \text{ and } \nu(x_n, x_{m_i}, u) < \frac{1}{i} \right\},$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $m_i = N(\frac{1}{i})$ .  $S_i \in F(I)$  is obvious for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Since  $I$  has the condition (AP), then by Proposition 1 there exists a set  $S \in F(I)$ , and  $S \setminus S_i$  is finite for all  $i$ . We prove that

$$\lim_{\substack{n, m \rightarrow \infty \\ n, m \in S}} \mu(x_n, x_m, u) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\substack{n, m \rightarrow \infty \\ n, m \in S}} \nu(x_n, x_m, u) = 0.$$

Assume that  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ ,  $u > 0$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $k > \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ . If  $n, m \in S$ , then  $S \setminus S_k$  is a finite set. Hence, there exists  $j = j(k)$  such that  $m \in S_k$  and  $n \in S_k$  for all  $m, n > j(k)$ . Thus,

$$\mu(x_n, x_{m_k}, u) > \frac{k-1}{k} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(x_m, x_{m_k}, u) > \frac{k-1}{k},$$

$$\nu(x_n, x_{m_k}, u) < \frac{1}{k} \quad \text{and} \quad \nu(x_m, x_{m_k}, u) < \frac{1}{k},$$

for all  $n, m > j(k)$ . In that case,

$$\mu(x_n, x_m, u) \geq \mu\left(x_n, x_{m_k}, \frac{u}{2}\right) \circ \mu\left(x_m, x_{m_k}, \frac{u}{2}\right) > (1 - \varepsilon) \circ (1 - \varepsilon) = \delta(\varepsilon),$$

$$\nu(x_n, x_m, u) \leq \nu\left(x_n, x_{m_k}, \frac{u}{2}\right) \nabla \nu\left(x_m, x_{m_k}, \frac{u}{2}\right) < \varepsilon \nabla \varepsilon = \delta(\varepsilon)$$

for  $m, n > j(k)$ . Consequently, the proof is complete. □

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $I$  be an admissible ideal in  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $(\mathbb{X}, \mu, \nu, \circ, \nabla)$  be an IFMS. If a sequence in  $\mathbb{X}$  is an  ${}^\mu I^*$ -convergent sequence, then it is an  ${}^\mu I^*$ -Cauchy sequence.*

*Proof.* Let  $x_n \xrightarrow[\nu]{\mu} x_0$ . Then, we have

$$H = \{h_1 < h_2 < \cdots < h_k < \cdots\} \in F(I)$$

such that

$$\mu - \lim_{\substack{h_k \rightarrow \infty \\ h_k \in H}} x_{h_k} = x_0.$$

Consider the following inequalities

$$\mu(x_{h_k}, x_{h_p}, u) \geq \mu\left(x_{h_k}, x_0, \frac{u}{2}\right) \circ \mu\left(x_{h_p}, x_0, \frac{u}{2}\right) > (1 - \varepsilon) \circ (1 - \varepsilon) = \delta(\varepsilon),$$

$$\nu(x_{h_k}, x_{h_p}, u) \leq \nu\left(x_{h_k}, x_0, \frac{u}{2}\right) \nabla \nu\left(x_{h_p}, x_0, \frac{u}{2}\right) < \varepsilon \nabla \varepsilon = \delta(\varepsilon),$$

we observe that

$$\lim_{\substack{h_k, h_p \rightarrow \infty \\ h_k, h_p \in H}} \mu(x_{h_k}, x_{h_p}, u) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\substack{h_k, h_p \rightarrow \infty \\ h_k, h_p \in H}} \nu(x_{h_k}, x_{h_p}, u) = 0.$$

Consequently, the sequence  $(x_n)$  is an  $\mu$ - $\nu$ - $I^*$ -Cauchy sequence.  $\square$

## 5. $\mu$ - $\nu$ - $I$ -LIMIT POINTS AND $\mu$ - $\nu$ - $I$ -CLUSTER POINTS

This section defines the notions of  $\mu$ - $\nu$ - $I$ -limit points and  $\mu$ - $\nu$ - $I$ -cluster points in IFMS. Moreover, it analyses the connection between these concepts. Finally, it studies that set of  $\mu$ - $\nu$ - $I$ -cluster points is closed.

**Definition 20.** Let  $I$  be a non-trivial ideal in  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $(\mathbb{X}, \mu, \nu, \circ, \nabla)$  be an IFMS, and  $x = (x_n)$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{X}$ . Then, an element  $x_0 \in \mathbb{X}$  is referred to as an  $\mu$ - $\nu$ - $I$ -limit point of  $x$ , if there is a set  $H = \{h_1 < h_2 < \cdots\} \notin I$  and  $\mu - \lim_{\substack{h_k \rightarrow \infty \\ h_k \in H}} x_{h_k} = x_0$ .

**Definition 21.** Let  $I$  be a non-trivial ideal in  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $(\mathbb{X}, \mu, \nu, \circ, \nabla)$  be an IFMS, and  $x = (x_n)$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{X}$ . Then, an element  $x_0 \in \mathbb{X}$  is called an  $\mu$ - $\nu$ - $I$ -cluster point of  $x$ , if for all  $u > 0$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(x_n, x_0, u) > 1 - \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \nu(x_n, x_0, u) < \varepsilon\} \notin I.$$

The set of all  $\mu$ - $\nu$ - $I$ -limit points and  $\mu$ - $\nu$ - $I$ -cluster points of a sequence  $x$  are denoted by  $\mu$ - $\nu$ - $I(\Lambda_x)$  and  $\mu$ - $\nu$ - $I(\Gamma_x)$ , respectively.

**Proposition 2.** Let  $I$  be an admissible ideal in  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $(\mathbb{X}, \mu, \nu, \circ, \nabla)$  be an IFMS, and  $x = (x_n)$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{X}$ . Then,  $\mu$ - $\nu$ - $I(\Lambda_x) \subset \mu$ - $\nu$ - $I(\Gamma_x)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in \mu$ - $\nu$ - $I(\Lambda_x)$ , then there exists a set  $H = \{h_1 < h_2 < \cdots\} \notin I$  such that

$$(7) \quad \mu - \lim_{\substack{h_k \rightarrow \infty \\ h_k \in H}} x_{h_k} = x_0.$$

Take  $u > 0$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ . According to (7), there exists  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for  $k > k_0$ ,  $\mu(x_{h_k}, x_0, u) > 1 - \varepsilon$  and  $\nu(x_{h_k}, x_0, u) < \varepsilon$ . Hence,

$$H \setminus \{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{k_0}\} \subset \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(x_n, x_0, u) > 1 - \varepsilon \text{ and } \nu(x_n, x_0, u) < \varepsilon\}$$

and thus  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(x_n, x_0, u) > 1 - \varepsilon \text{ and } \nu(x_n, x_0, u) < \varepsilon\} \notin I$  which means that  $x_0 \in {}^\mu_\nu I(\Gamma_x)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $(\mathbb{X}, \mu, \nu, \circ, \nabla)$  be an IFMS and  $x = (x_n)$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{X}$ . Then, the set  $\overline{{}^\mu_\nu I(\Gamma_x)}$  is closed in  $\mathbb{X}$ , if  $I$  is an admissible ideal in  $\mathbb{N}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $y \in \overline{{}^\mu_\nu I(\Gamma_x)}$  and  $u > 0, \varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ . Then,  $x_0 \in B(y, \varepsilon, u) \cap {}^\mu_\nu I(\Gamma_x)$ . Suppose that  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  and  $u > 0$  such that

$$B(x_0, \delta, u) \subset B(y, \varepsilon, u).$$

Hence,  $T \subset K$ , where

$$T = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(x_0, x_n, u) > 1 - \delta, \quad \nu(x_0, x_n, u) < \delta\},$$

$$K = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(y, x_n, u) > 1 - \varepsilon, \quad \nu(y, x_n, u) < \varepsilon\}.$$

Consequently,

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(y, x_n, u) > 1 - \varepsilon, \quad \nu(y, x_n, u) < \varepsilon\} \notin I, \quad y \in {}^\mu_\nu I(\Gamma_x). \quad \square$$

## 6. CONCLUSION

This paper studies the concept of ideal convergence, which is a generalization of ordinary convergence and statistical convergence in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces. In addition, it studies the concepts of  ${}^\mu_\nu I^*$ -convergent,  ${}^\mu_\nu I$ -Cauchy sequences, and  ${}^\mu_\nu I^*$ -Cauchy sequences and analyses the basic properties of these concepts. Finally, it defines the concepts of  ${}^\mu_\nu I$ -limit points and  ${}^\mu_\nu I$ -cluster points in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces and examines the connection between them.

In further research, it would be interesting to investigate similar results for double sequences.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We extend our appreciation to the reviewers for their valuable comments and constructive suggestions, which contributed to improving the quality and clarity of the manuscript.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Esi, V. A Khan, M. Ahmad, M. Alam, *Some Results on Wijsman Ideal Convergence in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Metric Spaces*, Journal of Function Spaces, 2020 (2020), Article ID: 7892913, 8 pages.
- [2] A. George, P. Veeramani, *On some results in fuzzy metric spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 64 (1994), 395–399.
- [3] A. Nabiev, P. Serpil, G. Mehmet, *On  $\mathcal{I}$ -Cauchy sequences*, Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics, 11 (2007), 569–576.

- [4] B.P. Varol, *Statistical Convergent Sequences in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Metric Spaces*, Axioms, 11 (2022), 159.
- [5] C. Li, Y. Zhang, J. Zhang, *On Statistical Convergence in Fuzzy Metric Spaces*, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems, 39 (3) (2020), 3987–3993.
- [6] D. Mihet, *On fuzzy contractive mappings in fuzzy metric spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 158 (2007), 915–921.
- [7] E. P. Klement, R. Mesiar, E. Pap, *Triangular Norms*, Trends in Logic-Studia Logica Library, Springer, 2000.
- [8] H. Fast, *Sur la convergence statistique*, Colloquium Mathematicum, 2 (1951), 241–244.
- [9] H. Steinhaus, *Sur la convergence ordinaire et la convergence asymptotique*, Colloquium Mathematicum, 2 (1951), 73–74.
- [10] J.A. Fridy, *On statistical convergence*, Analysis, 5 (1985), 301–313.
- [11] J.H. Park, *Intuitionistic Fuzzy Metric Spaces*, Chaos Solitons and Fractals, 22 (2004), 1039–1046.
- [12] J. Kramosil, J. Michalek, *Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces*, Kybernetika, 11 (1975), 336–334.
- [13] K. Dems, *On I–Cauchy sequences*, Real Analysis Exchange, 30 (2004), 123–128.
- [14] K. T. Atanasov, *Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 20 (1986), 87–96.
- [15] L.A Zadeh, *Fuzzy sets*, Information and Control, 8 (1965), 338–353.
- [16] M. Kumar, *Some New Results in Fuzzy Metric Space*, Asian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, (2022), 501–509.
- [17] O. Kaleva, S. Seikkala, *On fuzzy metric spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 12 (1984), 215–229.
- [18] P. Kostyrko, T. Salat, W. Wilczynski, *I–Convergence*, Real Analysis Exchange, 26 (2) (2000), 669–686.
- [19] S. Morillas and A. Sapena, *On standard Cauchy sequences in fuzzy metric spaces*, In: Proceedings of the Conference in Applied Topology, Spain, 2013.
- [20] V. Gregori, J.J. Miñana, S. Morillas, *A note on convergence in fuzzy metric spaces*, Iranian Journal of Fuzzy System, 11 (4) (2014), 75–85.
- [21] V. Gregori, J.J. Miñana, *Strong convergence in fuzzy metric spaces*, Filomat, 31 (6) (2017), 1619–1625.

**AYKUT OR**

FACULTY OF SCIENCE

ÇANAKKALE ONSEKİZ MART UNIVERSITY

17020, ÇANAKKALE

TÜRKİYE

*E-mail address:* aykutor@comu.edu.tr

**GÖKAY KARABACAK**

FACULTY OF ARTS AND SCIENCES

KIRKLARELİ UNIVERSITY

39100, KIRKLARELİ

TÜRKİYE

*E-mail address:* gokaykarabacak@klu.edu.tr