Some Fixed Point Theorems for Certain Contractive Mappings on Metric and Generalized Metric Spaces

Amit Singh^{*}, M.S. Khan and Brian Fisher

ABSTRACT. In the present paper we obtain sufficient conditions for the existence of a unique fixed point of Reich and Rhoades type contractive conditions on generalized, complete, metric spaces dependent on another function. Our results generalize and extend some well-known previous results.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The fixed point theorem most frequently cited in the literature is the Banach contraction mapping principle (see [4] or [6]), which asserts that if (X, d) is a complete metric space and $S : X \to X$ is a contractive mapping, i.e., there exists $k \in [0, 1)$ such that for all $x, y \in X$,

(1)
$$d(Sx, Sy) \le kd(x, y).$$

Then S has a unique fixed point.

The above contractive definition implies that S is uniformly continuous. It is natural to ask if there is a contractive definition which does not force S to be continuous. To answer the above question, in 1968 Kannan [5] established a fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying the inequality:

(2)
$$d(Sx, Sy) \le \lambda \left[d(x, Sx) + d(y, Sy) \right]$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$.

Kannan's result [5] was followed by a spate of papers containing a variety of contractive definitions in metric spaces. Rhoades [10] in 1977 considered 250 types of contractive definitions and analyzed the relationship between them.

In 2000 Branciari [2] introduced a class of generalized metric spaces by replacing the triangular inequality by similar ones which involve four or more

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 54H25; Secondary: 47H10.

Key words and phrases. Fixed point, contractive mapping, sequently convergent, sub-sequently convergent.

^{*}Corresponding author.

points instead of three and improved the Banach contraction mapping principle. Recently, Azam and Arshad [1] in 2008 extended Kannan's theorem for this kind of generalized metric space. In the present paper, we first of all extend Kannan's theorem [5] and then extend the theorem due to Azam and Arshad [1] and [8] for these new classes of functions.

The following definitions will be frequently used in the sequel.

Definition 1.1. [8] Let (X, d) be a metric space. A mapping $T : X \to X$ is said to be *sequentially convergent* if we have, for every sequence $\{y_n\}$, if $\{Ty_n\}$ is convergent then $\{y_n\}$ also is convergent. T is said subsequentially convergent if we have, for every sequence $\{y_n\}$, if $\{Ty_n\}$ is convergent then $\{y_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence.

Definition 1.2. [2] Let X be a nonempty set. Suppose that the mapping $d: X \to X$ satisfies:

- (i) $d(x, y) \ge 0$, for all $x, y \in X$ and d(x, y) = 0 if and only if x = y;
- (ii) d(x, y) = d(y, x) for all $x, y \in X$;
- (iii) $d(x,y) \le d(x,w) + d(w,z) + d(z,y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and for all distinct points $w, z \in X \{x, y\}$ (the rectangular property).

Then d is said to be a generalized metric and (X, d) is said to be a generalized metric space.

Definition 1.3. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X and let x be a point in X.

- (i) If for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is an $n_0 \in N$ such that $d(x_n, x) < \epsilon$ for all $n > n_0$ then $\{x_n\}$ is said to be *convergent*, $\{x_n\}$ converges to x and x is the *limit* of $\{x_n\}$. We denote this by $\lim_n x_n = x$ or by $x_n \to x$ as $n \to \infty$.
- (ii) If for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is an $n_0 \in N$ such that $d(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$ for all $m, n > n_0$, then $\{x_n\}$ is said to be a *Cauchy sequence* in X.
- (iii) If every Cauchy sequence is convergent in X, then X is said to be a *complete generalized metric space*.

Remark 1.1. [2]

- (i) $d(a_n, y) \to d(a, y)$ and $d(x, a_n) \to d(x, a)$ whenever $\{a_n\}$ is a sequence in X and $\{a_n\}$ converges to $a \in X$.
- (ii) X becomes a Hausdorff topological space with neighbourhood basis given by

$$B = \{ B(x, r) : x \in X, r \in (0, \infty) \},\$$

where

$$b(x,r) = \{ y \in X : d(x,y) < r \}.$$

2. FIXED POINT THEOREMS ON METRIC SPACES

Theorem 2.1. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let $T, S : X \to X$ be mappings such that T is continuous, one-to-one and subsequentially

convergent and satisfies the inequality

(3)
$$d(TSx, TSy) \le ad(Tx, TSx) + bd(Ty, TSy) + cd(Tx, Ty)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $a, b, c \geq 0$ with a + b + c < 1, then S has a unique fixed point. Also, if T is sequentially convergent, then for every $x_0 \in X$, the sequence of iterates $\{S^n x_0\}$ converges to x_0 .

Proof. Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X. We define the iterative sequence $\{x_n\}$ by $x_{n+1} = Sx_n = S^{n+1}x_0$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ Using inequality (3), we have

$$d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) = d(TSx_{n-1}, TSx_n)$$

$$\leq ad(Tx_{n-1}, TSx_{n-1}) + bd(Tx_n, TSx_n) + cd(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n)$$

$$\leq ad(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) + bd(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) + cd(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n),$$

which implies that

$$(1-b)d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) \le (a+c)d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n).$$

Putting $h = \frac{a+c}{1-b}$, it follows that

(4)
$$d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) \le hd(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \le h^2 d(Tx_{n-2}, Tx_{n-1})$$
$$\le \dots \le h^n d(Tx_0, Tx_1).$$

Hence, for every $m, n \in N$ with m > n, we have

$$d(Tx_m, Tx_n) \leq d(Tx_m, Tx_{m-1}) + d(Tx_{m-1}, Tx_{m-2}) + \ldots + d(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_n)$$

$$\leq (h^{m-1} + h^{m-2} + \ldots + h^n) d(Tx_0, Tx_1)$$

(5)
$$\leq \frac{h^n}{1-h} d(Tx_0, Tx_1).$$

Letting $m, n \to \infty$ in (5), we see that $\{Tx_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X. By the completeness of X, there exists a point $v \in X$ such that

(6)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} T x_n = v.$$

Since T is subsequentially convergent, $\{x_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence $\{x_{n(k)}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ a point $u \in X$ such that $\lim_{k \to \infty} x_{n(k)} = u$.

Since T is continuous and $\lim_{k \to \infty} x_{n(k)} = u$ it follows that $\lim_{k \to \infty} Tx_{n(k)} = Tu$. By (6), we conclude that Tu = v.

We also have

$$\begin{split} d(TSu,Tu) &\leq d(TSu,TS^{n(k)}x_0) + d(TS^{n(k)}x_0,TS^{n(k)+1}x_0) + d(TS^{n(k)+1}x_0,Tu) \\ &\leq ad(Tu,TSu) + bd(TS^{n(k)-1}x_0,TS^{n(k)}x_0) + cd(Tu,TS^{n(k)-1}x_0) \\ &\quad + h^{n(k)}d(Tx_0,TSx_0) + d(Tx_{n(k)+1},Tu). \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$(1-a)d(TSu, Tu) \le bd(TS^{n(k)-1}x_0, TS^{n(k)}x_0) + cd(Tu, TS^{n(k)-1}x_0) + h^{n(k)}d(Tx_0, TSx_0) + d(Tx_{n(k)+1}, Tu)$$

and so

$$d(TSu, Tu) \leq \frac{b}{1-a} d(Tx_{n(k)-1}, Tx_{n(k)}) + \frac{c}{1-a} d(Tu, Tx_{n(k)-1}) + \frac{h^{n(k)}}{1-a} d(Tx_0, Tx_1) + \frac{1}{1-a} d(Tx_{n(k)+1}, Tu) + 0 \quad \text{as} \quad k \to \infty.$$

Hence d(TSu, Tu) = 0, which implies that TSu = Tu. Since T is one to one, we have Su = u and so S has a fixed point u.

To prove the uniqueness of u, let v be a second fixed point of S. Then from injectivity of T, we get Su = Sv, proving the uniqueness of the fixed point.

Finally, suppose that T is sequentially convergent. Then replacing (n(k)) by n, we conclude that $\lim_{n\to\infty} S^n x_0 = u$. This shows that $\{S^n x_0\}$ converges to the fixed point of S.

Corollary 2.1. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let $T, S : X \to X$ be mappings such that T is continuous, one-to-one and subsequentially convergent. If $\lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ and

(7)
$$d(TSx, TSy) \le \lambda \left[d(Tx, TSx) + d(Ty, TSy) \right]$$

for all $x, y \in X$, then S has a unique fixed point. Further, if T is sequentially convergent, then for every $x_0 \in X$, the sequence of iterates $\{S^n x_0\}$ converges to this fixed point.

Remark 2.1. By taking $Tx \equiv x$ in Theorem 2.1, we can conclude the Reich's theorem [9].

Remark 2.2. By taking $Tx \equiv x$ in Corollary 2.1, we can conclude the Kannan's theorem [5].

The following example shows that Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.1 are indeed proper extensions of Kannan's theorem.

Example 2.1. [8] Let $X = \{0\} \cup \{4^{-1}, 5^{-1}, 6^{-1}, \ldots\}$ endowed with the Euclidean metric. Define $S: X \to X$ by S(0) = 0 and $S(n^{-1}) = (n+1)^{-1}$ for all $n \ge 4$. Obviously the condition (2) is not true for every $\lambda > 0$ and so we cannot use the Kannan's theorem [5]. By defining $T: X \to X$ by

$$T(0) = 0 \text{ and } S(n^{-1}) = n^{-n} \text{ for all } n \ge 4 \text{ we have, for } m, n \in N \ (m > n),$$

$$\left|TS(m^{-1}), TS(n^{-1})\right| = (n+1)^{-n-1} - (m+1)^{-m-1}$$

$$< (n+1)^{-n-1} \le 3 - 1[n^{-n} - (n+1)^{-n-1}]$$

$$\le 3^{-1}[n^{-n} - (n+1)^{-n-1} + m^{-m} - (m+1)^{-m-1}]$$

$$= 3^{-1} \left[\left|T(n^{-1}) - TSn^{-1}\right| + \left|T(m^{-1}) - TSm^{-1}\right|\right].$$

The inequality (8) shows that (7) is true for $\lambda = 3^{-1}$. Therefore by Corollary 2.1, S has a unique fixed point.

Similarly, we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let $T, S : X \to X$ be mappings such that T is continuous, one-to-one and subsequentially convergent and satisfies the inequality

(9)
$$d(TSx, TSy) \le ad(Tx, TSy) + bd(Ty, TSx) + cd(Tx, Ty)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, $a, b, c \ge 0$ with a+b+c < 1, then S has a unique fixed point. Also if T is sequentially convergent then for every $x_0 \in X$, the sequence of iterates $\{S^n x_0\}$ converges to this fixed point.

3. FIXED POINT THEOREMS ON GENERALIZED METRIC SPACES

Theorem 3.1. Let (X,d) be a complete generalized metric space and let $T, S : X \to X$ be mappings such that T is continuous, one-to-one and sub-sequentially convergent and satisfies the inequality

(10)
$$d(TSx, TSy) \le ad(Tx, TSx) + bd(Ty, TSy) + cd(Tx, Ty)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, $a, b, c \ge 0$ with a+b+c < 1, then S has a unique fixed point. Also if T is sequentially convergent, then for every $x_0 \in X$, the sequence of iterates $\{S^n x_0\}$ converges to this fixed point.

Proof. Let x_0 be any arbitrary point in X and put $x_1 = Tx_0$. If $x_0 = Tx_0$, this means that x_0 is a fixed point of T and there is nothing to prove.

Assume that $x_1 \neq x_0$ and put $x_2 = Tx_1$. Proceeding in this way, we can define the iterative sequence of points in X as follows:

$$x_{n+1} = Sx_n = S^{n+1}x_0, x_n \neq x_{n+1} \ n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Using inequality (10), we have

$$d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) = d(TSx_{n-1}, TSx_n)$$

$$\leq ad(Tx_{n-1}, TSx_{n-1}) + bd(Tx_n, TSx_n) + cd(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n)$$

$$\leq ad(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) + bd(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) + cd(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n),$$

implying that

$$(1-b)d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) \le (a+c)d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n),$$

and so

$$d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) \le hd(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n),$$

where

$$h = \frac{a+c}{1-b} < 1.$$

We can also suppose that x_0 is not a periodic point. In fact if $x_n = x_0$, then

$$d(Tx_0, Tx_1) = d(Tx_0, TSx_0) = d(Tx_n, TSx_n) = d(TS^n x_0, TS^{n+1} x_0)$$

$$\leq hd(TS^{n-1} x_0, TS^n x_0) \leq h^2 d(TS^{n-2} x_0, TS^{n-1} x_0)$$

$$\leq \dots \leq h^n d(Tx_0, TSx_0).$$

Since h < 1, it follows that x_0 is a fixed point of S. Thus in the sequel of the proof, we can suppose that $S^n x_0 \neq x_0$ for n = 1, 2, ...

Now inequality (10) implies that

$$d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+m}) = d(TS^n x_0, TS^{n+m} x_0)$$

$$\leq ad(TS^{n-1} x_0, TS^n x_0) + bd(TS^{n+m-1} x_0, TS^{n+m} x_0)$$

$$+ cd(TS^{n-1} x_0, TS^{n+m-1} x_0)$$

$$\leq ad(TS^{n-1} x_0, TS^n x_0) + bd(TS^{n+m-1} x_0, TS^{n+m} x_0)$$

$$+ c[d(TS^{n-1} x_0, TS^n x_0) + d(TS^n x_0, TS^{n+m} x_0)$$

$$+ d(TS^{n+m} x_0, TS^{n+m-1} x_0)].$$

Hence

$$(1-c)d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+m}) \le (a+c)d(TS^{n-1}x_0, TS^nx_0) + (b+c)d(TS^{n+m-1}x_0, TS^{n+m}x_0)$$

and so

$$d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+m}) \le hd(TS^{n-1}x_0, TS^n x_0) + \frac{b+c}{1-c}d(TS^{n+m-1}x_0, TS^{n+m}x_0) \le h^n d(Tx_0, Tx_1) + \frac{b+c}{1-c}h^{n+m-1}d(Tx_0, Tx_1).$$

Therefore, $d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+m}) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. This implies that $\{Tx_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X. Since X is complete, there exists a point $u \in X$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} Tx_n = u$.

By the rectangular property, we have

$$d(TSu, Tu) \leq d(TSu, TS^{n}x_{0}) + d(TS^{n}x_{0}, TS^{n+1}x_{0}) + d(TS^{n+1}x_{0}, Tu)$$

$$\leq ad(Tu, TSu) + bd(TS^{n-1}x_{0}, TS^{n}x_{0}) + cd(Tu, TS^{n-1}x_{0})$$

$$+ h^{n}d(Tx_{0}, TSx_{0}) + d(Tx_{n+1}, Tu).$$

Therefore,

$$(1-a)d(TSu, Tu) \le bd(TS^{n-1}x_0, TS^nx_0) + cd(Tu, TS^{n-1}x_0) + h^n d(Tx_0, TSx_0) + d(Tx_{n+1}, Tu)$$

and so

$$d(TSu, Tu) \leq \frac{b}{1-a} d(TS^{n-1}x_0, TS^n x_0) + \frac{c}{1-a} d(Tu, TS^{n-1}x_0) + \frac{h^n}{1-a} d(Tx_0, TSx_0) + \frac{1}{1-a} d(Tx_{n+1}, Tu) = \frac{b}{1-a} d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) + \frac{c}{1-a} d(Tu, Tx_{n-1}) + \frac{h^n}{1-a} d(Tx_0, Tx_1) + \frac{1}{1-a} d(Tx_{n+1}, Tu).$$

Letting $n \to \infty$ and using Remark 1.1, we have TSu = Tu. Since T is one to one, we have Su = u. and so S has a fixed point.

To prove uniqueness, let v be another fixed point of S. Then by (10), we have

$$\begin{split} d(Tv,Tu) &= d(TSv,Tsu) \\ &\leq ad(TSv,Tv) + bd(TSu,Tu) + cd(Tv,Tu) \\ &\leq \frac{a}{1-c}d(Tv,Tv) + \frac{b}{1-c}(Tu,Tu) = 0. \end{split}$$

Hence Tv = Tu and so u = v. The fixed point is therefore unique.

Finally, if T is sequentially convergent, we conclude that $\lim_{n\to\infty} S^n x_0 = u$. This shows that $\{S^n x_0\}$ converges to the fixed point of S.

Corollary 3.1. Let (X, d) be a complete generalized metric space and let $T, S : X \to X$ be mappings such that T is continuous, one-to-one and subsequentially convergent. If $\lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ and

(11)
$$d(TSx, TSy) \le \lambda \left[d(Tx, TSx) + d(Ty, TSy) \right]$$

for all $x, y \in X$, then S has a unique fixed point. Further, if T is sequentially convergent then for every $x_0 \in X$, the sequence of iterates $\{S^n x_0\}$ converges to this fixed point.

Remark 3.1. By taking $Tx \equiv x$ in Theorem 3.1, we can conclude the Reich's theorem [9].

Remark 3.2. By taking $Tx \equiv x$ in Corollary 3.1, we can conclude the Kannan's theorem [5].

Example 3.1. [1] Let $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Define $d: X \times X \to R$ as follows:

$$\begin{split} &d(1,2)=d(2,1)=3,\\ &d(2,3)=d(3,2)=d(1,3)=d(3,1)=1,\\ &d(1,4)=d(4,1)=d(2,4)=d(4,2)=d(3,4)=d(4,3)=4. \end{split}$$

Obviously (X, d) is a generalized metric space but not a metric space.

The following example shows that Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.1 are indeed a proper extensions of Azam and Arshad theorem [1].

Example 3.2. Define a mapping $S: X \to X$ as follows:

$$Sx = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } x \neq 1, \\ 4, & \text{if } x = 1. \end{cases}$$

Obviously the inequality (2) does not holds for S and every $\lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$, and so we cannot use the Azam and Arshad theorem for S.

Now define $T: X \to X$ by

$$Tx = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } x = 4, \\ 3, & \text{if } x = 2, \\ 4, & \text{if } x = 1, \\ 1, & \text{if } x = 3. \end{cases}$$

and so

$$TSx = \begin{cases} 3, & \text{if } x \neq 1, \\ 2, & \text{if } x = 1. \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$d(TSx, TSy) \le \frac{1}{3} \left[d(Tx, TSx) + d(Ty, TSy) \right].$$

Therefore by Corollary 3.1, S has a unique fixed point.

Similarly, we can prove the following theorem:

Theorem 3.2. Let (X,d) be a complete generalized metric space and let $T, S : X \to X$ be mappings such that T is continuous, one-to-one and subsequentially convergent and satisfies the inequality

(12)
$$d(TSx, TSy) \le ad(Tx, TSy) + bd(Ty, TSx) + cd(Tx, Ty)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $a, b, c \ge 0$ and a + b + c < 1, then S has a unique fixed point. Further, if T is sequentially convergent, then for every $x_0 \in X$, the sequence of iterates $\{S^n x_0\}$ converges to this fixed point.

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank the editor of the paper and the referees for their careful reading of the paper.

References

- A. Azam and M. Arshad, Kannan fixed point theorem on generalized metric spaces, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., 1(1)(2008), 45-48.
- [2] A. Branciari, A fixed point theorem of Banach-Caccippoli type on a class of generalized metric spaces, Publ. Math. Debrecen, 57(1-2) (2000), 31-37.
- [3] S.K. Chatterjea, Fixed point theorems, C.R. Acad. Bulgare Sci., 25(1972), 727-730.
- [4] K. Goebel and W. A. Kirk, *Topics in Metric Fixed Point Theory*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1990.
- [5] R. Kannan, Some results on fixed points, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., 60(1968), 71-76.
- [6] R. Kannan, Some results on fixed points II, Amer. Math. Monthly, 76(1969), 405-408.
- [7] M.A. Khamsi and W. A. Kirk, An introduction to metric spaces and fixed point theory, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 2001.
- [8] S. Moradi, Kannan fixed-point theorem on complete metric spaces and on generalized metric spaces depended an another function, arXiv:0903.1577v1 [math.FA] 9 Mar 2009.
- [9] S. Reich, Some remarks concerning contraction mappings, Canad. Math. Bull., 14(1971), 121-124.
- [10] B.E. Rhoades, A Comparison of Various Definitions of Contractive Mappings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 226(1977), 257-290.

AMIT SINGH

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS GOVERNMENT DEGREE COLLEGE BILLAWAR JAMMU AND KASHMIR INDIA-184204 *E-mail address*: singhamit841@gmail.com

M.S. KHAN

College of Science Department of Mathematics and Statistics Sultan Qaboos University Post Box 36, Postal Code 123 Al-Khod, Muscat Sultanate of Oman *E-mail address*: mohammad@squ.edu.om

BRIAN FISHER

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF LEICESTER LEICESTER, LE1 7RH ENGLAND *E-mail address:* fbr@le.ac.uk